ARTPLACE AMERICA
10 YEARS
## Contents

**About ArtPlace**  
4

**2010**

- ArtPlace’s Origins, Twentieth-Century Innovation, and an Economic Crisis  
  Santo Domingo Heritage Trail  
  8

**2014**

- Redirection Takes Root  
  The Village of Arts and Humanities  
  46

**2018**

- A Culture of Convening  
  Hidden Gems of the Tenderloin  
  86

**Epilogue**  
122

**Welcome**  
6

**2011**

- Defining and Launching  
  Irrigate  
  18

**2012**

- Measuring and Field-Building  
  Sugar Hill Children’s Museum of Art and Storytelling  
  28

**2013**

- A Summit and a Strategic Plan  
  Appalshop  
  36

**2015**

- Expanding Investments  
  Cook Inlet Housing Authority  
  56

**2016**

- Building Knowledge and Networks  
  US Water Alliance  
  66

**2017**

- The Partnership Principle  
  Taller de Permiso  
  76

**2019**

- Transforming Practice  
  Indigenous Design and Planning Institute  
  98

**2020**

- Closings and Openings  
  The Philadelphia Assembly  
  110

**Acknowledgments and Photo Credits**  
132

**Special Thanks**  
148
ArtPlace America (ArtPlace) is a ten-year, $150 million collaboration among a number of foundations, federal agencies, and financial institutions. Operating from 2010 to 2020, ArtPlace’s mission was to position arts and culture as a core sector of community planning and development and to strengthen the field of creative placemaking.

ArtPlace worked to enlist artists as allies in cultivating equitable, healthy, and sustainable communities across the United States. ArtPlace worked toward this goal by supporting demonstration projects through the National Creative Placemaking Fund; organizational change through the Community Development Investments program; cross-sector research and resource strategies to embed practice across the field of community development; knowledge and network strategies within the fields of arts and culture, local government, and higher education; and storytelling and convening work.
Welcome

Welcome to the story of ArtPlace America—the story of an entity created to amplify the power of the arts in building healthy, equitable, and sustainable communities.

The core innovation of ArtPlace’s funders was to bring a range of private philanthropy into a coordinated partnership, as a way to define, fund, and build a field of creative placemaking.

Since the release of the Creative Placemaking white paper for the NEA’s Mayors’ Institute on City Design, both ArtPlace and the field have evolved and shape-shifted. The deep and nuanced stories of the many people who have contributed to its current strength and beauty are not all represented here, but we acknowledge them all with gratitude and humility.

The power of arts and culture, in many forms, to sustain and enrich communities has been understood and employed for thousands of years. ArtPlace’s work in this more recent era has been to build a shared identity around the notion of creative placemaking as a practice that people responsible for the well-being of communities would recognize and embrace.

To do that required collaboration with community-minded artists, community-based organizations, national institutions, government leaders, researchers, banks, and visionary philanthropies. The pages that follow tell stories of these collaborations—just a few of the many, many stories that could be told. They also chronicle ArtPlace’s evolution from an idea to an established entity to a force for change.

Early in its existence, the decision was made to establish a ten-year horizon for the work, with a sunset in 2020. So this publication is also a summing up of ArtPlace. You’ll read how the ten-year limit gave ArtPlace’s work focus, urgency, and freedom. You’ll also learn how ArtPlace has been embedding knowledge, networks, and narratives, working with partners to carry the torch of creative placemaking, placekeeping, and placetending long past 2020. Through this work, we hope ArtPlace’s closure will be less like a sunset and more like a supernova.

This is a story about how people and organizations who care about communities, from a wide range of practices, perspectives, and histories, got together, did their best to learn each other’s values and languages, collaborated through challenges, and ultimately unleashed the power of the human imagination to try to improve the ways our communities serve the needs, hopes, and dreams of their residents.

We hope this story inspires you.
ArtPlace’s Origins, Twentieth-Century Innovation, and an Economic Crisis
ArtPlace was created in 2010 by the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) to foster the field of creative placemaking, the current ArtPlace definition of which is “the intentional integration of arts, culture, and community-engaged design strategies into the process of equitable community planning and development. It’s about artists, culture-bearers, and designers acting as allies to creatively address challenges and opportunities. It’s about these artists and all of the allies together contributing to community defined social, physical, and economic outcomes and honoring a sense of place.”

As the organization has grown and developed, the term creative placemaking has become increasingly familiar to artists, community development professionals, local government officials, and others concerned with the health of American communities.

While the term creative placemaking emerged in the twenty-first century, the concept is much, much older. Art has shaped places ever since people have lived in them. Cultural evolution has always been driven by human creativity, and that creativity has birthed the art that has inspired and shaped communities. Long before Columbus’s arrival, people had already occupied these continents for millennia. Many indigenous peoples, not only in North and South America but around the world, see their lifeways, including their arts, as intrinsically connected to the places they inhabit.

A New Relationship Between Government, Art, and Place

The year 2010 was a tense time in the United States. Despite the Great Recession’s “official” end the previous year, when gross domestic product (GDP) finally began a slow rise, much of industry and most Americans were still reeling economically. The budgets of many federal agencies remained stagnant, and some of them, like the NEA, saw their funding cut by more than 7 percent. The NEA’s newly appointed chairman, Broadway producer Rocco Landesman, recognized the need to figure out a new way to operate, founded on connecting the arts and government on the basis of place. In the recession’s aftermath, the two-year old Obama administration had been advancing place-based strategies—measures that addressed entrenched community challenges by looking at the livability of the communities as a whole, rather than just focusing on, say, education or public health. Landesman began making the case to his new colleagues that art could—and should—play a much bigger role in shaping and strengthening communities and thus enhancing livability. Drawing on the work of the Mayors’ Institute on City Design, Landesman began laying plans for what would become the Our Town grant program, which would make significant investments in arts and culture projects with the specific purpose of shaping the future of places in the direction of greater livability, while incentivizing various sectors of local government, artists, and arts organizations to work together.

But the agency needed help. “We felt the resources at the NEA were very limited,” said Landesman in a 2014 Aspen Institute interview. “And we wanted to greatly leverage up and increase those
resources and create an entity in the private sector that would work alongside the NEA in creative placemaking. This is how ArtPlace was conceived. With contributions from his wife, philanthropy professional Debby Landesman, and NEA Deputy Chairman Joan Shigekawa, he sketched a plan to put the public-private partnership to work on the task of revitalizing communities through arts and culture. He hoped to eventually create a new field where artists, arts organizations, culture-bearers, and designers would all have a seat at the community planning table. With the help of Luis Ubiñas and Darren Walker at the Ford Foundation, Landesman convened a group of the nation’s largest foundations and pitched the idea, to which they enthusiastically agreed. Jamie Bennett, the current executive director of ArtPlace, often refers to this coalition as a “big bag of money and an idea.”

From Ancient America to the WPA, and Beyond
The influence of creative placemaking, an idea rooted in pre-colonial Native practice, can be found throughout the twentieth century. Beginning with the City Beautiful movement in the 1890s and early 1900s and the establishment of the Federal Art Project of the Works Progress Administration (WPA) in 1935, art and artists have been a deliberate part of modern U.S. urban policy. The establishment of the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) and the National Endowment for the Humanities in the middle of the century, along with the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973 (CETA), which supported artists to work in community settings in the 1970s, helped a burgeoning community arts movement grow and deepen.

As these community arts movements were taking hold, there was an ongoing effort by artists and the arts sector to be recognized for their role in community and social change work. By the 1990s and early 2000s, urban economists had caught on and were heralding the value of arts and the creative economy, just as a broader movement back into cities began to spur new investments in urban spaces, and as cultural heritage tourism began becoming more popular, creating a renewed platform for rural revitalization. Moreover, in the latter part of the century and the early 2000s, in urban planning and community development spaces, conversations were deepening about the role of amenities, design, and creative economies in building communities.

Community organizing, which had a sporadic but meaningful history of layering in the work of cultural organizers and artist-activists, was gaining new prominence with Obama’s historic election, while at the same time the broader art field was increasing its discourse around “social practice”—the idea of artistic practice that focuses on engagement with people and communities. Some of these movements have at times been in conflict and sometimes in dialogue with each other. For example, creative economy work has different drivers than cultural equity work. Nevertheless, while there is not a cohesive, unified movement, there is a shared ability to draw on many different influences. With the NEA and ArtPlace, creative placemaking was to more formally position artists and their work as a vital resource for local engagement and community development.

Santo Domingo’s Opportunity – and Challenge
In 2010, the people of the Santo Domingo Pueblo (also known as Kewa Pueblo) in New Mexico found themselves with an opportunity and a challenge. The Rio Metro Regional Transit District had just installed a Rail Runner commuter rail station near the pueblo, giving tribal members easy access to Albuquerque to the southwest and Santa Fe to the northeast. People living in the pueblo had been creating artwork for centuries—distinctive pottery from the clay of the
The Creative Placemaking white paper by Ann Markusen, Markusen Economic Research Services, and Anne Gadwa, Metris Arts Consulting, defined creative placemaking as “partners from public, private, non-profit, and community sectors strategically shap[ing] the physical and social character of a neighborhood, town, city, or region around arts and cultural activities. Creative Placemaking animates public and private spaces, rejuvenates structures and streetscapes, improves local business viability and public safety, and brings diverse people together to celebrate, inspire, and be inspired.” This summation served as the frame for the NEA’s launch of the Our Town grant program and invigorated a national conversation around the role that arts and culture played in community development in 2010.

region, turquoise inlay work, and more. The intimate relationship between the pueblo and its artists has continued to the present, where more than seventy-five percent of its people earn the main part of their living from art-making. The Rail Runner would provide much-needed access to art markets in Albuquerque and Santa Fe—but access to the Rail Runner station itself was difficult and dangerous. The station was located about two miles from the pueblo’s main village, and since few residents had vehicles, getting there involved walking along a narrow-shouldered highway posted for 25 miles per hour, but which many cars took at twice that speed. ArtPlace would eventually play a role in supporting the Santo Domingo community in solving this problem—and in honoring the deepest roots of arts and placemaking in the Americas. But first, of course, it had to come fully into being.

Art and Planning in the Pueblo

When the Knight/Gallup Soul of the Community study was first published in 2010, asking citizens across the United States what elements of community life made them feel most attached to their towns and cities, respondents cited “social offerings, openness, and aesthetics” as more important than a strong local economy, good schools, or safe streets. It looked like Americans as a whole were already enthusiastic—and hopeful—about what arts and culture could do for them. Of course, the people of the Santo Domingo Pueblo already knew what arts and culture did for them. It was their lifeblood. And that’s why the pueblo’s Planning Department wanted to make certain that art was an integral part of a new vision for the pueblo. The department began collaborating with architect and member of the Northern Cheyenne nation Joseph Kunkel, embedded in the Santo Domingo Tribal Housing Authority as an Enterprise Rose Fellow, to develop a master plan for the entire pueblo, along with a number of other community-development projects. In many ways, Kunkel’s work was an early guidepost and indicator of the emerging creative placemaking field. Working with Kunkel, the department secured one of the NEA’s Our Town grants, to create a Cultural Arts District at Santo Domingo. The point of the plan was to ease the tribe’s housing shortage while respecting its cultural values and celebrating its artistic heritage. One important part of it was to build an affordable-housing development across the road from the Rail Runner station in an area known simply as Domingo. Another was to rebuild the nearby Santo Domingo Trading Post, where local artists had marketed their works before a fire gutted the building in 2001.
Residents of the Santo Domingo development had to make that dangerous two-mile walk along the highway—to get to the village in the opposite direction from those heading to the train station. The solution to this was the creation of a trail planned jointly with the Santo Domingo Tribal Housing Authority and the Santo Domingo Planning Department who engaged various organizations and members of the community, including the Tribal Council, the Santa Fe Art Institute, the local Natural Resources Department, and the Johns Hopkins Center for American Indian Health, which tracked broader health outcomes related to the trail. As a new national entity, ArtPlace would also become a key partner in 2014 by funding the integration of art into a section of the trail. That section, the Santo Domingo Heritage Trail, was laid out to cover 1.5 miles of the walkway and to celebrate the millennia-long artistic traditions of the people of the Santo Domingo Pueblo by creating six “art nodes” along the way. The project had a layered funding structure that included support from the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the New Mexico Department of Transportation, and the tribe itself, says Kunkel. “ArtPlace funded the part of the community engagement process that addressed what it means to work with local artists. It funded artists’ participation in the design of each of the art nodes, and it funded fabrication.” Given that so many community members rely on the arts as a main source of income, Kunkel notes, “it’s also about making sure that artists’ voices are involved in the development process—and, when they are involved, that they’re paid for their knowledge.”

Part of the ArtPlace funding was also used to ensure that as development moved forward, community engagement would continue to be a priority. “Typically,” Kunkel says, “there aren’t any dollars in the community processes; and developing an action plan for the period following the ArtPlace launch.

I received a call in July 2010 from Darren Walker of the Ford Foundation, asking if I would help develop the specifics for a new initiative just blessed at a meeting attended by the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) and the leadership of seven major national foundations. The meeting was convened by Rocco Landesman, chair of the NEA, and Luis Ubiñas, president of the Ford Foundation. The overall concept was to “promote arts and culture in building livable, sustainable communities.” The challenge presented was to further define the initiative; develop a structure for funding, governance, and operations; and secure financing commitments of approximately $15 million by the end of the year.

I worked with Rocco Landesman, Joan Shigekawa, and Jamie Bennett of the NEA, and Darren Walker, with top-notch legal advice from Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler, to create multiple agreements that provided the mechanisms for funding and operating the initiative. By December 31, 2010, the funders had signed these agreements and committed $12 million in grants and $12 million in loans to the program. During this formative period, the number of funders grew to ten foundations and six financial institutions. And during these intense five months, our team addressed issues and accomplished tasks relating to the unique role of the NEA in working collaboratively with private-sector organizations; the engagement of the Nonprofit Finance Fund as investment advisor and fund recipient, which allowed the ArtPlace initiative to be launched and operated without establishing a separate, formal entity; addressing funder geographic and art form preferences; obtaining legal clearance to use the ArtPlace name; selecting Carol Coletta to serve as director of the new initiative; integrating a loan component to the financial structure; working through individual foundation grant-making processes; and developing an action plan for the period following the ArtPlace launch.

—James Pickman
At the end of 2010, with funders on board and federal authorities gaining interest in creative placemaking, ArtPlace didn’t yet have a leader. In January of 2011, renowned urbanist Carol Coletta, who had served for years as the head of Chicago-based CEOs for Cities, was hired as the organization’s first executive director, and a year of organizing – including staffing up – and self-definition began.

ArtPlace wanted to put the idea of creative placemaking on the map, and that meant clarifying and demonstrating what the practice looked like all over the country. To that end its first round of funding was by invitation. Coletta and her new staff – Bridget Marquis, Tim Halbur, and Shreya Parekh – looked at applicants for grants from the brand-new NEA Our Town program, along with other people and groups in the ArtPlace funder network who were doing work in line with the new paradigm, and sent them requests for proposals. The idea was to highlight initiatives that reflected both the current reality and the potential of the approach – a portfolio of projects that would help illustrate the work and then serve as a launch pad for the public debut of ArtPlace.

The 34 first-round projects included a wide range of geographies and partners: from an Office of Planning-driven project to support temporary art interventions in public space and vacant buildings in Washington, D.C., to a farm/art collaboration to spur economic development and solidarity in rural Wisconsin, to a unique multi-artist initiative in Saint Paul, Minnesota.

Springboard for the Arts Presents “Irrigate”

The Irrigate project, piloted by Springboard for the Arts in Saint Paul, invited hundreds of local arts practitioners to take part in a wildly varied “ecosystem” of artworks and art happenings, all aimed at bringing fun, joy, and uplift to neighborhoods challenged by a disruptive construction project.

When construction began on the Central Corridor Light Rail Transit line (later dubbed the Green Line) linking the downtowns of Minneapolis and Saint Paul, many rejoiced; the Twin Cities, which had scrapped their streetcar systems in the 1950s, were going to have a second mass-transit rail route, eventually connected to the already-operational Blue Line linking downtown Minneapolis with the airport. At the same time, the transit line planning was full of contention as communities along the route fought for stops in their neighborhoods that have suffered a long history of displacement, disinvestment, and insufficient resources.

Regardless of whether one was feeling excited or had trepidations, the reality would be three years of highly disruptive digging and building, much of it...
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witnessing in our own neighborhood what a large outside our building. That was the spark: just of Springboard. “There was a huge hole in the ground cohesion. 600 local artists and foster nearly 200 artist-led Arts leveraged community connections to train over economic turmoil. now-legendary neighborhood. This time around, news and a discriminatory housing market, and erased a tight-knit community, displaced thousands of it was a vibrant, vital community that was in many ways community, had been through this before. In the 1930s downtown. Rondo, an historic African American businesses, were also threatened all along the 11-mile stretch of small buildings dotted with vacant car dealerships that had moved to the burbs, and full of small, locally owned businesses that give great flavor and authenticity to the area. A majority of people of color owned businesses here, and in particular Black- and Asian-owned businesses, were also threatened all along the 11-mile Saint Paul stretch, from the Midway neighborhood to downtown. Rondo, an historic African American community, had been through this before. In the 1930s it was a vibrant, bustling community that was in many ways independent of the white society around it. The construction of I-94 in the 1960s shattered this tight-knit community, displaced thousands of African-Americans into a racially segregated city and a discriminatory Taylorsville market, and created a new-legendary neighborhood. This time around, news reports and editors anticipated loss of business and economic turmoil.

With funding from ArtPlace, Springboard for the Arts leveraged community connections to train over 600 local artists and foster nearly 200 artist-led projects over three years—projects that helped alter the media narrative and bolster community spirit and cohesion.

In downtown Saint Paul, Laura Zabel, Jun-Li Wang, and their colleagues at Springboard were on the front lines. “We started seeing the effects of the construction firsthand,” says Zabel, executive director of Springboard. “There was a huge hole in the ground outside our building. It was stark, just witnessing in our own neighborhood what a large disruption this was going to be and thinking, what could artists bring to the table to help neighborhoods and communities?”

For most of its life, Springboard had concentrated on helping individual artists develop their careers. But they’d also been looking to expand their self-identified mandate into community development and building reciprocal relationships between artists and their communities. Wang, an experienced community organizer, was brought on board by Zabel to lead the new direction in 2010. She first piloted the concept of supporting artists to engage with community issues and creative placemaking by collaborating with the Friendly Streets Initiative, an effort to help residents conceive how to make their street more bike- and pedestrian-friendly. So in 2011 Wang was ready to spearhead a much more ambitious project, funded by ArtPlace, and in partnership with Twin Cities Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC) and the city of Saint Paul, and eventually titled Irrigate. The plan was to engage local artists to support businesses in the vibrant, but struggling portion of the avenue, the projects would remind everyone that businesses were forged; murals were created, outdoor dance classes were held, photography exhibits appeared in store windows, and concerts popped up in restaurants.

The Narrative Shifts

Over time, the doom-and-gloom media atmosphere was invaded by activities to do and see, stories of excitement, innovation, cooperation, and hope. Wang describes this as an economic disruption narrative that began to shift—for instance, the image of Frogtown, an inner-city neighborhood with multiple challenges, began to alter too. “For people in the neighborhood, it was suddenly, ‘Look! I have cool neighbors, they’re active, they’re doing beautiful things.’ And for outsiders: ‘That’s a neighborhood where cool things happen.’

“Doing lots of little projects, instead of focusing on a single big, iconic piece of art, created multiple opportunities for media attention,” Zabel says. “That created an alternative, positive media narrative. Each project was its own little story about something interesting, unique, or fun, and about the people and the cultures in the neighborhood.” The dizzying final count of positive mass- and social-media mentions was over 50 million. “It turns out,” Zabel says, “that if you pay people who live and work in and understand the care about a neighborhood to do creative things, you can have a much bigger impact than hiring a PR firm to try to create an ‘outside’ narrative.”

As just important were the connections made. Zabel points out that the district councils and other organizations have continued to work with artists, and many Irrigate artists have continued to work on community-based projects. “Irrigate unearthed or made more visible a whole new set of leaders in the neighborhoods,” she says. Artists are invited to go to city meetings and proudly identifying themselves as “Irrigate artists.” People in the neighborhoods began seeing artists differently, too. “They began to say, ‘An artist can be anybody,’” says Wang. “This is my neighbor, this is somebody down my block and they’re doing an Irrigate project.” People started to become much more aware that there were these artists all over and these artists wanted to engage.”

An Organization Arrives

Meanwhile, ArtPlace’s funders were busy laying the groundwork for what would become the economic disruption conversation that would transcend its origins in the arts sector. Funders took part in a meeting on arts and community development in the West Wing of the White House in June with a number of cabinet secretaries—a major opportunity to bring the creative placemaking message to federal officials at a variety of agencies, especially those whose funders believed artists could play an important role in federally funded programs.
When I was appointed ArtPlace director, I was looking for something that would create excitement for others. I wanted great spokespeople, demonstration projects—something that wouldn’t just look good for a year but rather would be a model for others, change-making enough that people would follow the lead. When you’re trying to establish a thing, you have to get it off the ground quickly and know you’re going to make some mistakes, without being afraid to leap with great imagination. That involves risk taking, but also some rigor about the outcomes.

The thing that always blows my mind is that nobody really cares about the outcomes. People care about stories, so you’d better do something early on that gives you good storytellers, people who are charismatic and charming, people that others look up to and want to emulate.

It was really all about trying to catch that lightning and get others to buy into it. I wanted us to create enough sparks that the fire would catch in lots of places that we never control. Let’s get as much attention as we can and create a set of metrics so that lots of people can use them, or that at least it will frame a discussion about why this stuff is important to you even if you don’t care about art or placemaking.

I thought we, along with the NEA Our Town work, did a good job of seeding the concept, and making enough grants and making enough noise with those grants that we put the idea of creative placemaking on the map. I think we started a very robust discussion that was well worth having.

—Carol Coletta

Carol Coletta is president and CEO of the Memphis River Parks Partnership. Previously, she served as executive director of the Mayors’ Institute on City Design, a partnership of the National Endowment for the Arts, U.S. Conference of Mayors, and ArtPlace America.
ArtPlace’s second year saw the organization striving to answer some important questions:

Just how would the organization know that creative placemaking was making an impact, how much of an impact it was having, and in what areas?

Developing measures for the practice could help serve two goals. First, Coletta and her team wanted to lay a firm, results-centered foundation for the embryonic discipline of creative placemaking. Second, measuring outcomes would allow ArtPlace to demonstrate to funders that this cooperative consortium was allowing their pooled funds to achieve a scale of outcomes that no single foundation was able to on its own.

The central concept that the team landed on was the idea that creative placemaking could drive “vibrancy” and in turn broader economic development. To that end, Carol Coletta worked with the Portland, Oregon–based firm Impresa Consulting, led by Joe Cortright, to develop metrics that would indicate creative placemaking was activating measurable changes in three dimensions—people, activity, and value in a place—as proxies for vibrancy.

That’s how the organization’s Vibrancy Indicators were born. They were announced at a meeting of the Municipal Art Society of New York in April and became a central focus for conversation in the burgeoning field over the coming year.

The Vibrancy Indicators were not a definition of vibrancy, but simply data from publicly available data sets that would allow ArtPlace to observe how the communities in which they were invested were changing over time alongside the investments. However, the indicators quickly garnered criticism for being rooted largely in economic factors as dimensions of change. Some of these included rate of employment, job opportunities, percentage of locally owned businesses, and the growth of “creative” businesses and occupations, such as media, information, and the arts.

After the explosion of “creative class” conversations and critiques in the 2000s, arts sector and community groups were concerned about the pervasive narrative that the arts were a major driver of gentrification and displacement. ArtPlace’s Vibrancy Indicators were critiqued as perpetuating that notion by suggesting that the change that mattered could be measured largely in dollars and cents.

As ArtPlace continued in its role of facilitating creative placemaking, these early conversations in the field about what change looks like and how to understand it, measure it, and communicate it would become a critical catalyst for involving more of the field in determining how to define its own success, and on whose terms.

A Different Kind of Children’s Museum

As for the SHCMAS, unlike what one might expect from a regular children’s museum, this is not just a high-concept play space. It’s a serious art museum, displaying sophisticated work by contemporary artists, many from the neighborhood—a neighborhood made legendary by Harlem Renaissance figures such as Langston Hughes and later luminaries like Supreme Court justice Thurgood Marshall and baseball great Willie Mays. Today Sugar Hill is a haven for moneyed newcomers to the neighborhood—kids from three to eight—in special ways.

The museum reaches out to its intended audience—kids from three to eight—in special ways. There are, as the museum’s name suggests, story-telling sessions, but they’re enlivened by theater, dance, and music, along with artmaking by the children. The museum’s artist-in-residence (AIR) program resembles those in other major cultural institutions: the artist selected is given workspace and asked to spend a year creating a new body of

The mixed-use residential building, the preschool, and the museum taken together are the most ambitious project to date of Broadway Housing Communities (BHC), a nonprofit that’s been on the scene in upper Manhattan since 1983, rehabilitating vacant and abandoned buildings to give formerly homeless people and others who need it a beautiful, dignified place to live. It’s a housing-equity organization with a love for art.

A Building in Harlem

If some of ArtPlace’s critics were worried that creative placemaking promoted gentrification and displacement, community members in Sugar Hill, Harlem, might well have voiced the same concerns as they watched a remarkable structure taking shape: a striking new sculptural apartment building designed by the internationally known Ghanaian-British architect Sir David Adjaye OBE, RA. Was this a haven for moneyed newcomers to the neighborhood?

Not at all. The residential component of the Sugar Hill complex, at the intersection of St. Nicholas Avenue and 155th Street, is distinctive for the depth of its affordability, with 70 percent of its 124 apartments accessible to households of very limited means, including 20 percent who have experienced homelessness. In a neighborhood challenged by unemployment, disinvestment, and poverty, it’s a deliberate statement of hope, sophistication, and beauty. It’s also home to a tuition-free, arts-oriented preschool, and to a remarkable arts institution: the Sugar Hill Children’s Museum of Art and Storytelling (SHCMAS), whose planning was supported by ArtPlace funding in its third round.

SHCMAS’s museum was born. It was announced at a meeting of the Municipal Art Society of New York in April and became a central focus for conversation in the burgeoning field over the coming year.

The museum reaches out to its intended audience—kids from three to eight—in special ways. There are, as the museum’s name suggests, story-telling sessions, but they’re enlivened by theater, dance, and music, along with artmaking by the children. The museum’s artist-in-residence (AIR) program resembles those in other major cultural institutions: the artist selected is given workspace and asked to spend a year creating a new body of

The mixed-use residential building, the preschool, and the museum taken together are the most ambitious project to date of Broadway Housing Communities (BHC), a nonprofit that’s been on the scene in upper Manhattan since 1983, rehabilitating vacant and abandoned buildings to give formerly homeless people and others who need it a beautiful, dignified place to live. It’s a housing-equity organization with a love for art.
work. But they also share the work with kids by holding get-togethers during which the children ask questions and explore artmaking in response to the artist’s work. The preschool’s children are instrumental to the selection process during demonstration sessions with each AIR finalist.

**An “Aspirational Leap”**

The overall Sugar Hill Project—the building and its amenities—represented a bold venture for BHC, which had rehabbed and developed six other properties, two of which ended up with art galleries where community artists showed their work. But it had never before attempted a build-from-scratch project, let alone one that included a full-fledged museum.

The initial Sugar Hill plans had called for a gallery much like those in BHC’s other properties. But conversations between BHC founder and executive director Ellen Baxter and noted Harlem artist Faith Ringgold, with whom BHC had collaborated on a book of children’s artistic responses to 9/11, upped the ante. Ringgold believed that Sugar Hill should honor its residents with the permanence and dignity of a museum, and Baxter agreed.

“Our two community art galleries had been sponsoring exhibitions since the mid-1990s,” Baxter says. “It was a real aspirational leap that we were making from sponsoring community galleries to creating an established cultural institution. ArtPlace’s support was crucial for the planning of the museum and the hiring of the initial staff to conceive how we were going to actually operationalize our dreams and our leap.” The ArtPlace award, she adds, “also really catapulted our visibility and identity. The money was important, but what was equally important was making the cultural arts field aware of the project.”

**An Architect Who Listens**

When the time came to choose an architect for the Sugar Hill Project, BHC sent out an RFP to 26 New York firms, including Adjaye’s, which had just opened a New York office. “We had a panel of architects we’d collected to help us make this decision,” Baxter says. “What that design committee said was ‘Ellen, you’ve got to be out of your mind if you don’t pick David Adjaye.’ And working with him turned out to be absolutely delightful. He’s very hands-on in terms of decision-making and he’s an exceptional listener.”

For his part, the architect was excited and challenged by the multiple uses the building would incorporate. “It presented an opportunity to tap into what I call an ‘urban system,’” Adjaye says. “A building that was not just about housing, but brought together elements of education, generational interchange, commerce, and culture. For me, it’s incredibly important to think beyond the physical building and to ask how it assists in the life of the people.”

**A Laboratory**

One major way the Children’s Museum “assists in the life of the people,” according to one analysis, is that sustained art exposure creates pathways for learning. Baxter prefers to put that in more personal terms. “The most magical and unusual impacts that we’ve witnessed, and that continue to be revealed, are what happens between the artists and the children,” she says. “Especially the artists in residence. They work with the children for a whole year. The kids sit on the floor and make things with them that are inspired by the artist’s materials and their

---

**Vibrancy Indicators Launched**

ArtPlace team and Impresa Consulting launch Vibrancy Indicators at Municipal Arts Society event

**2012 Grants Announced**

2012 ArtPlace grants are announced totaling $15.4 million
work—and they really come to understand that particular artist’s practice, their personality, the materials they work with, what they think about.”

As for the museum as a whole, Baxter calls it a laboratory. “The museum is really a laboratory between the preschool, children coming in when it’s open to the public, artists in residence and art educators, school groups... It has so many different dimensions.” The complexity of this “laboratory” idea has intentionally worked to destigmatize (from an urban design perspective) who goes into such a building, who belongs there, and who is welcome. It could no longer be seen as simply a low-income housing project, but something multidimensional that could be used and loved by anyone.

Toward Field-Building, and a Summit

As projects like Sugar Hill were continuing to gain momentum and recognition, the ArtPlace team was also beginning to make plans to expand its activities beyond regranting and the Vibrancy Indicators and into broader field-building work. The goal? Amidst the criticism of the Vibrancy Indicators and concern that creative placemaking was just another trendy term, ArtPlace sought to establish a base of practitioners and advocates who would help advance the practice.

To that end, Coletta and her staff began planning a gathering of the funded projects to kick off the next year with a significant goal: to create a sense of commonality, a common language, and shared interests and aspirations centering on creative placemaking, and to bring practitioners together for the first time to say who they were, what they were doing, and how they could together inspire a broader movement for arts in place.

The idea of field-building: foundations love that. I think it really helped ArtPlace, because people felt like they were building a field, and that’s a big deal. It’s been quite remarkable; there is a firmly built-out field of creative placemaking: stakeholders and norms and standards and understandings about what this idea is all about. There’s good research and scholarship. I think you’ve got a lot of funders who understand this area who didn’t before, and who didn’t fund in this area before. A lot of goodwill has been created because of it.

—Darren Walker

Darren Walker is president of the Ford Foundation. He is a member of Governor Cuomo’s Reimagining New York Commission and co-chair of NYC Census 2020. Previously he was vice president at the Rockefeller Foundation and COO of the Abyssinian Development Corporation, Harlem’s largest community development organization.
2013

A Summit and a Strategic Plan
This watershed year for ArtPlace began with a press tour and its first Creative Placemaking Summit, in Miami Beach. It ended with a new strategic plan in place that would refine the ArtPlace mission and give the initiative a new shape as a ten-year program that would “sunset” in 2020.

Building on the Vibrancy Indicators, ArtPlace released America’s Top Art Places in early January. The report highlighted twelve neighborhoods in eleven metro areas, showcasing places that rated strongly on vibrancy measures. They had in common dense combinations of arts and culture businesses and workers alongside independent retailers and other key kinds of street-level retail.

The release came with a six-city press tour. Later in the year, ArtPlace would release a similar list for rural places. In both cases, the goal was to ignite new conversations in the press about arts in communities by celebrating places where investments in arts and culture were driving vibrant economies.

Questions and Inspirations

The first annual Summit was a gathering of funded projects along with key external partners and funders. The goal was to help build a network of practitioners who would serve as ambassadors for the work in their communities and share stories and ideas. The Summit included a number of peer-driven conversations on how and what funded projects were doing, along with a lot of discussion on how to engage new partners. For example, how could ArtPlace and its allies engage with federal, state, and local governments? How could intersections between the public and private sector promote the work? And how could the work add up to meaningful conversations on how and what funded projects were doing, along with a lot of discussion on how to engage new partners.

The Summit was also, of course, a chance for practitioners who would serve as ambassadors for the work in their communities and share stories and ideas. The Summit included a number of peer-driven conversations on how and what funded projects were doing, along with a lot of discussion on how to engage new partners. For example, how could ArtPlace and its allies engage with federal, state, and local governments? How could intersections between the public and private sector promote the work? And how could the work add up to meaningful conversations on how and what funded projects were doing, along with a lot of discussion on how to engage new partners.

The Summit was also, of course, a chance for practitioners who would serve as ambassadors for the work in their communities and share stories and ideas. The Summit included a number of peer-driven conversations on how and what funded projects were doing, along with a lot of discussion on how to engage new partners. For example, how could ArtPlace and its allies engage with federal, state, and local governments? How could intersections between the public and private sector promote the work? And how could the work add up to meaningful conversations on how and what funded projects were doing, along with a lot of discussion on how to engage new partners.
Three-Pronged Support

The ArtPlace grant, awarded in 2015 but based on work that had begun years before, was intended to help Appalshop ramp up arts, media, and technology training for youth; strengthen cultural institutions in Whitesburg and the surrounding Letcher County; and help diversify the county’s economy by developing opportunities based on local traditions and supporting entrepreneurs with plans for creative businesses. The key to it all was creative placemaking. “The ArtPlace support to Appalshop came in the form of a creative placemaking grant,” says Gibson. “ArtPlace came in early on this concept of creative placemaking in Appalachia. Our interpretation of creative placemaking meant developing a diversified economy for where we are. The grants allowed us to do a lot of planning and thinking and strategizing, which led to everything else.”

This expansion of Appalshop’s mission, Gibson says, was rooted in the organization’s relationship with Gladstone “Fluney” Hutchinson, an economics professor and provost at Lafayette College in Pennsylvania and former director-general and executive chairman of the Planning Institute of Jamaica. Hutchinson, an expert on fostering economic growth in the developing world, believes in community cooperation and grassroots resource-building rather than traditional top-down development and aid models. Hutchinson saw and admired what Appalshop had done in the cultural sphere, and he helped the organization see how it could extend its reach further to meet the needs of the local economy. Discussions among the members are guided, says Gibson, by the communication styles that Appalshop has always employed: “Story circles, respect, listening, not commenting on what someone’s saying, letting them finish, repeating their contribution back to them, making sure everyone is heard.”

It’s an approach that the Appalshopers, who tend to be on the liberal side of the political spectrum, have found crucial in gaining the support of local residents who might not share their political convictions, but who have come to respect their commitment to the county’s future.

Performing Our Future

ArtPlace support also helped bring to birth Performing Our Future, a program that “exports” the Culture Hub approach to other localities. “Performing Our Future,” says Gibson, “is the umbrella term to describe our satellite group experiments, our proving grounds.” Created by Appalshop’s award-winning grassroots performance troupe Roadside Theater and led at the time by veteran Appalshop organizer Ben Fink, the initiative links Letcher County Culture Hub with the Arch Social Community Network in West Baltimore, Black Belt Citizens Fighting for Health and Justice in Alabama, and Rural/Urban Flow in Milwaukee and Sauk Counties, Wisconsin. In the Appalshop mode, the groups combine cultural work—storytelling, music, theater, film, and more—with community organizing and community business-development and wealth-building. As the project’s website notes, “we own what we make”—the resources created by community cooperation stay in the community.

And ArtPlace’s just-in-time support of the Media Institute, Gibson says, led to even bigger things. A Boston-based video-game developer called Giant Otter Media had grown dissatisfied with the coding work they were outsourcing to India. Appalshop’s development director, Ada Smith, got wind of their problem and suggested that they hire former AMI multimedia trainees at the same rate of pay. Mountain Tech Media was the result, an LLC that did sophisticated web development right in the heart of Letcher County.

Gibson notes that most of the projects spurred by ArtPlace’s grant have now been taken up by the Educational Foundation of America (EFA) — but the support to widen its horizons that ArtPlace originally gave Appalshop made the projects possible. “If we hadn’t had the creative placemaking dollars from ArtPlace, we wouldn’t have engaged Fluney Hutchinson,” he says. “If we hadn’t engaged Fluney, we wouldn’t have had the strategy, and the strategy led to all the rest.”

New Partners, a Change of Leadership, and a New Road Map

Leveraging new partners and funders was always a major goal of ArtPlace. Building on the foundation that had been set by the West Wing meeting in 2011, ArtPlace was interested in developing a larger strategy for the initiative that would deepen its integration around federal policy and lending...
activities. Luckily, it had an incredible asset to draw on in Jeremy Nowak, who was engaged to help build out a road map for ArtPlace.

Nowak was one of the original funders of ArtPlace while serving as president of the William Penn Foundation, and after leaving the foundation, he was happy to assist with his unique qualifications. Nowak had founded and led The Reinvestment Fund in Philadelphia and, while there, had done a lot of thinking, writing, and investing around arts and culture and their role in community revitalization. He had also been involved in a number of federal programs, as well as another funder collaborative, Living Cities, doing significant community development work at the national level. Altogether, he brought a unique combination of experience with lending, federal policy, and foundation strategy that would soon prove to be invaluable.

In March of 2013, Executive Director Carol Coletta announced that she was leaving to take up a position with the Knight Foundation. With the future of the initiative unclear, ArtPlace’s funders saw Nowak as a logical choice to serve as interim director. The remaining staff at ArtPlace worked with Nowak and the funders over the following months to go back to basics and ask some important foundational questions: Was ArtPlace just a short-term initiative, or did it have a longer-term role to play in the landscape? Was its primary function to regrant pooled funds, or were there other measurable goals that this particular collaborative might advance with their collective might?

Meanwhile, ArtPlace was continuing to build out new programs to advance the idea of creative placemaking with new audiences. For example, ArtPlace had its eye on mayors and built a partnership with the Aspen Institute to host the Aspen Creative Placemaking Roundtable. Modeled on the earlier Mayors’ Institute on City Design, it invited six mayors from around the country to bring challenges to a body of creative placemaking practitioners who would help design new ideas for them in real time.

This kind of audience-driven field-building would be inspiration to building out a broader mandate for the organization. Over the summer, Nowak hammered out a new plan with staff and participating funders that would embody a few key changes for the next phase of the initiative.

First, it would create a set time frame for the organization: one decade. “The ten-year time horizon was critical,” says Lyz Crane, who worked closely with Nowak on the plan, “because it would allow us to develop a strategy over a defined period, with a somewhat known set of resources, without having to create and maintain a permanent institution. We believed this would allow ArtPlace to operate with urgency and a campaign-like mentality, and to work more closely with existing infrastructure to sustain the field past our term limit, rather than becoming a new intermediary competing with many great organizations already doing good work.”

Second, the new plan embodied a stronger field-building mandate that would give ArtPlace greater license to expand its purview into new kinds of grant-making and research.

And finally, it laid out a philosophical approach to the work that rooted the idea of creative placemaking in broader notions of community development and connected it to a long history of practice that had been advancing this kind of work long before ArtPlace came to pass.

This broadening of the frame from economic development to community development would become the basis for ArtPlace to significantly expand its ways of working, revisit its mission, and articulate foundational concepts of creative placemaking in new ways. As most of the original staff departed to join Coletta in her new role by the end of the year, 2013 became a critical transition year for the organization, laying the groundwork to start fresh in 2014 with new ideas, personnel, and programs.
In 2013, I wrote a piece entitled “Creative Placemaking and the Politics of Belonging and Dis-belonging” about this developing field and ArtPlace. I referred to the song “Bewitched, Bothered and Bewildered” as a prompt to talk about the deficiencies I saw unfolding. Subsequently, I’ve been surprised by how my critique and the concept of belonging as central to placemaking practice has become a sticky word for many who are engaged with community cultural development.

I’m still “bewitched, bothered, and bewildered” by the many ways creative placemaking operates in theory and practice. As a policy maker, my North Star in this field has been an understanding that before you have places of belonging you must feel you belong. That the built environment of mixed-use structures or spatial design operates inside the policy frame of planning is OK, but that is not enough if creative placemaking ignores the justice and social cohesion that enliven place.

In the early days of this developing field, I was often asked, why aren’t more folks of color a part of this undertaking? — as if I, as a Latino, should know the answer. After some reflection and fatigue with this question, I stated that the problem with creative placemaking is its failure to articulate whether it’s a property rights or human rights movement. In America, we POCs are repeatedly seen and treated as property without human rights, and the field of creative placemaking has not examined toughly our nation’s racist legacy and its complicity with this line of thinking — especially in the actions of placemaking and the white spatial imaginary at play in policies and practices in this field.

In recent years, ArtPlace has moved beyond this cage of thought, especially through its investments in community cultural development. It needs to move down this path with more intention and lift up arts-based civic engagement practices as manifestation of creative placemaking. It also needs to reflect on the governance system embedded in the creative placemaking stakeholders’ community:

In the face of displacement brought on by gentrification and creative placemaking as a property rights movement, a neighborhood, a locale asserts its humanism through keeping the stories of a place alive, by keeping a beloved landmark, or by keeping the renters in their home.

As ArtPlace comes to its end of a worthy undertaking, let us set aside its policies and turn to its poetics. Let’s think of creative placemaking/creative placekeeping in the context of affect, for example, how the New Orleans Blues, the Chicago Blues, or the Oakland Blues shape and identify a place, sculpting the aesthetic speech of a locale. And how Ella Fitzgerald singing “Bewitched, Bothered and Bewildered” speaks to the allure of creative placemaking/placekeeping and its desire for connection to land, neighbors, home, and the mess of it all.

— Roberto Bedoya

Roberto Bedoya is the Cultural Affairs Manager for the City of Oakland where he most recently shepherded the City’s Cultural Plan, “Belonging in Oakland: A Cultural Development Plan.” Throughout his career he has consistently supported artist-centered cultural practices and advocated for expanded definitions of inclusion and belonging in the cultural sector. His essays, such as “Creative Placemaking and the Politics of Belonging and Dis-belonging,” “Spatial Justice: Rasquachification, Race and the City,” and “Poetics and Praxis of a City in Relation,” have reframed the discussion on cultural policy to shed light on exclusionary practices in cultural policy decision-making.
Declarations in LA

When the Summit convened at the Omni Hotel in Los Angeles, ArtPlace had a chance to embed its new emphasis on broad field-building into the very structure of the gathering. While the 2013 Summit had proceeded in the usual manner of plenary sessions plus multiple breakout sessions, 2014 attendees spent most of their time in a single big group. “The idea was to create a shared conversation about field-building, a commons,” says Crane, “and to position ArtPlace as a container, not a viewpoint.”

Bennett addressed people from the gathered funded projects and others, laying out ArtPlace’s new direction. He dubbed the grantees “delegates” who would advance the cause of creative placemaking and support its transition from a movement to a field. He affirmed that ArtPlace would commission and support creative placemaking research for the benefit of all practitioners, and that the organization would lead and foster a range of field-building strategies and activities in the creative placemaking community.

Crane also presented at the Summit on “Creative Placemaking: Intentions + Outcomes,” a message designed to root creative placemaking outcomes in the broader notion of intentionality, rather than in a single set of outcomes (such as the Vibrancy Indicators) that would be applied to any community. This concept would eventually lead staff to develop what became known as ArtPlace’s “four points” of creative placemaking:

1. What is the geographic community? — grounding the practice in place-based change
2. What is the desired community change? — establishing a clear intention to address a challenge or opportunity, and making sure that intention is defined by the community
3. How will the arts help achieve that change? — intentionally directing artistic practice and activities toward the desired change
4. How will you know that change is happening? — allowing each project and community to self-define what change (and success) looks like

New Staffers, New Tools

As Bennett settled in, it was clear that the 2013 strategic plan — focused on fostering creative placemaking as a discipline within the complex context of community development — needed a firm scaffold of research, theory, and practice if it was to move forward with real momentum in the seven years that remained before ArtPlace’s sunset.

As the year progressed, ArtPlace added key staff members to help build out this vision. F. Javier Torres was brought on to help evolve the national grant-making, at this point about to enter its fifth round, to align with the new direction. Jamie Hand was hired to take charge of devising new research strategies and saw her mandate as supporting ArtPlace’s field-building goals by developing resources for creative placemaking practitioners that were “both useful and used.” Prentice Onayemi was hired as Director of Partnerships and Communications to help develop strategies for reaching new audiences.

Together, Hand and Onayemi working with the newly cast team, developed a tool that would be officially launched in 2015 to help guide the organization for the rest of its existence: the Community Development Matrix.

The matrix highlighted ten areas by which, as an ArtPlace blog post explained at the time, “the community planning and development world self-organizes.”

In its final form, the ten sectors were Agriculture and Food, Economic Development, Environment and Energy, Health, Housing, Immigration, Public Safety, Transportation, Workforce Development, and Youth Development. It also included five types of actors who are commonly involved in community change: Civic, Social, and Faith-Based; Commercial; Government; Nonprofit; and Philanthropic.

The purpose of the matrix was for ArtPlace to begin thinking about field-building from an “audience-based” approach. Basically, this meant identifying who and what the people and professions are that embody comprehensive community planning and development, and how ArtPlace might understand how arts and culture can play a role in their practice. This matrix would soon become the basis for much of ArtPlace’s work in research, communications, and grant-making.

A Summing-Up

At a meeting of the Funders Council in October, ArtPlace’s funders approved the implementation plan that Bennett and the new team had developed over the course of 2014. The year ended with the launch of a special issue of the Community Development
Innovation Review, published by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco and guest-edited by Laura Callanan, senior deputy chairman of the NEA, with help from many others, including ArtPlace’s Jamie Bennett, Lyz Crane, and Prentice Onayemi. In ten essays, a range of contributors summed up the theoretical and practical progress of creative placemaking to date. Bennett’s essay in the collection, “Creative Placemaking in Community Planning and Development: An Introduction to ArtPlace America,” not only reintroduced the organization and underlined its commitment to community development (“ArtPlace has adopted the language of community planning and development as the framework and context for understanding the impact of our investments,” Bennett wrote); it also put forward four crucial areas of community betterment that had emerged from projects funded by ArtPlace—economic development, civic engagement, resiliency (recovery after calamity), and quality of life — along with four ways creative placemaking worked: anchoring, activating, “fixing,” and planning. Sixteen write-ups of funded projects followed, illustrating these principles in practice—a mosaic of ArtPlace’s contributions in the organization’s first four years.

The Village of Arts and Humanities

ArtPlace’s shift from an economic-development paradigm centered around the Vibrancy Indicators to a more broadly based community-development model that allowed for a wider range of potential outcomes came as a pleasant surprise for one organization. When Aviva Kapust and her colleagues at the Village of Arts and Humanities in Philadelphia applied for a National Creative Placemaking Fund (NCPF) grant from ArtPlace in 2014, they were pretty sure they wouldn’t get it. They were skeptical about the creative-placemaking concept as they had seen and applied previously, and, Kapust says, they weren’t willing to be as specific about what they were going to do as most funders required. They were proposing to hire artists in residence for a sprawling complex of rehabbed row houses and community art-parks and gardens, whose programming focused on community development through the arts. “But we couldn’t necessarily tell them who the artists were going to be,” Kapust says, “or what the projects were going to be. All we could say was that we had this process for answering those questions, and we had this program that has a certain set of values.”

From African Dance to Community Development

Those values are rooted in the origin of the Village, which lay in the work of a remarkable dancer and choreographer named Arthur Hall. In 1968 he and some friends established the Ile Ife (Yoruba for “House of Love” or “House of Creation”) Black Humanitarian Center in North Philadelphia. Here Hall taught West African dance and drumming to community members. Ile Ife dancers and drummers toured internationally, and the center became a focal point of the Black Arts Movement in North Philly, a symbol of pride and hope during the 1970s, a time of turmoil and disinvestment in the neighborhood.

When Hall hired public artist Lily Yeh in 1986 to transform a vacant lot adjoining the building into Ile Ife Park, he set in motion the transformation of Ile Ife into the Village of Arts and Humanities. Yeh, stonemason Joseph (JoJo) Williams, mosaicist James “Big Man” Maxton, and many other community members went on to create more than twenty parks, gardens, and sculptures in the neighborhood, with images and motifs from African sacred traditions and local styles of expression. Meanwhile, the Village became a nonprofit under Yeh’s leadership, promoting arts-based neighborhood revitalization in myriad ways.

An Old-School Plan

A previous director of the Village had initiated a plan for a conventional artist residency, with a mid-career...
professional artist working in a well-equipped studio, and had secured a Knight Foundation grant for it, one that required matching funds.

“That residency plan never really sat well with us,” Kapust says. This was partly because the row houses the organization owned didn’t offer the right kind of studio space, but mostly because the idea of an artist flying in to deliver artworks to the community didn’t jibe with the Village’s convictions.

“I don’t recall asking Knight’s permission to change the residency plan completely,” Kapust says. “But we did! We redesigned it in the image of what we felt the Village itself was, and what Ile Ife before it had been—products of artists working with, alongside, and for community members, using art as a tool. Art-based thinking, art-based doing, to create change in a very tangible, legible, inspirational, and aspirational way.

“Really what that looked like in practice,” she adds, “was art-based community development, or creative placemaking, right?”

To Kapust and her team’s considerable surprise, ArtPlace said yes to their far-from-finalized application, giving the Village a $280,000 grant to augment the Knight funding. “We used the Knight money to rehab some row houses as residences for multiple artists,” Kapust says, “and the ArtPlace grant mainly went towards salaries for the visiting and neighborhood artists, and towards supporting the events they would create.”

Food, Music, Hope—and Relationship

What emerged was a trio of ambitious projects. Led by the Philadelphia-based community-arts collective Amber Art and Design, The Village Table was a series of four sit-down four-course public dinners in the Village’s Meditation Park. Community members could score VIP tickets to the events by building tables and other furniture, or by contributing a personal recipe. The cards on which contributors wrote their recipes also had questions to answer about neighborhood needs, which turned out to be a highly efficient way of surveying residents’ opinions, with an 85 percent response rate. Recipe contributors were also invited to help harvest meal ingredients at the Village’s PhillyEarth urban demonstration farm. And the meals served as kickoffs for other dinners in which nutritionists counseled attendees about healthy eating options.

King Britt, a Philadelphia DJ, producer, and composer with an international following, launched a community-based record label called Playback Musik, working with neighborhood artists to produce a full-length album, which debuted on local radio station WKDU and at a live concert and film showing. Another live event was The Stoop, a series of six outdoor listening parties at the artists’ residence. Participants and passersby were invited to share songs and respond musically to social issues.

And the ongoing People’s Paper Co-Op, led by Mark Strandquist, Courtney Bowles, Faith Bartley, and neighborhood artists, connects formerly incarcerated people with artists, lawyers, and others to help them advocate for themselves as they navigate life after incarceration. One notable, and symbolic, element is a papermaking initiative in which formerly incarcerated people turn their printed criminal records into paper pulp, out of which they create new, blank sheets of paper that are sewn together in a huge quilt. The overall Co-Op project involved many other efforts to help the formerly incarcerated and advocate for criminal-justice reform. Today the project focuses solely on female ex-offenders because, as Kapust notes, “It became very, very clear from the Co-Op’s work that there were, like, 150 percent more resources for men who were formerly incarcerated than for women.”

The artist-residency work had many aspects and many impacts. But looking back, Kapust sees their major significance in terms of relationship.

“One of the greatest results was that brand-new and really, really different relationships were forged between people who had never interacted with each other,” she says. “Multigenerational relationships. Relationships between genders. And people who had never experienced learning and creating together.”

2014 | Redirection Takes Root

---

**JUN 2014**

**2014 Grants Announced**

2014 ArtPlace grants are announced to 55 projects totaling $14.7 million

**OCT 2014**

**Matrix Unveiled**

ArtPlace adopts the Community Development Matrix to guide its field-building work
What most stands out to me is the utterly unsexy matrix, ushered in under Jamie Bennett’s leadership. It was such a stark contrast from ArtPlace’s slick, colorful rollout and efforts like its Vibrancy Indicators, which garnered media attention but generated controversy with folks working on the ground. ArtPlace thoughtfully parsed the foci (housing, transportation, health, etc.) and sectors of the community development world. This allowed it to strategically orient all its work towards the goal of getting arts and culture strategies to be a core component of community development. It’s made considerable inroads towards that goal.

—Anne Gadwa Nicodemus

Anne Gadwa Nicodemus is a choreographer/arts administrator turned urban planner who founded Metris Arts Consulting and leads its work. She oversees strategic direction and daily operations and frequently serves as lead technical contributor for projects.
If 2014 was the year of new leadership and new theoretical and research directions for ArtPlace, 2015 was a year when many of these developments began to take concrete form, through new ways of grant-making, field-building, and doing research.

At a January gathering at the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, the creative placemaking issue of the Community Development Innovation Review to which ArtPlace had made major contributions was released, and Jamie Bennett officially unveiled a major new initiative for ArtPlace: the Community Development Investments (CDI) program.

The CDI program was a manifestation of the new focus on community development as a sector that embodies what the program guidelines described as “critical steward[ship] of long-term community vision.” The program was designed to shift the practice of local community development organizations to incorporate arts and culture strategies sustainably into how they did their work. It represented an opportunity to learn from organizations that hadn’t done significant work with arts and culture about what it would take to gain the necessary skills, tools, and relationships to establish arts-based approaches to achieving community outcomes.

After its announcement in San Francisco, the program received 261 applications from around the country. Lyz Crane, as program director, traveled with Jeremy Nowak and New York City–based designer Willy Wong to visit each of twenty-one finalists over six weeks of intensive site visits, and eventually selected six organizations that would become a part of an incredible opportunity. Each organization would receive $3 million, significant funding, and a three-year runway to become a part of an incredible opportunity. Each finalist over six weeks of intensive site visits, and eventually selected six organizations that would become a part of an incredible opportunity. Each organization would receive $3 million, significant technical assistance, and a three-year runway to learn how to work with artists, culture-bearers, and designers to support their missions.

The six organizations were announced in August and chosen explicitly to represent a wide range of regions, communities (urban, rural, tribal), market conditions, and demographics. They included the Fairmount Park Conservancy in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; the Jackson Medical Mall Foundation in Jackson, Mississippi; the Little Tokyo Service Center in Los Angeles, California; the Southwest Minnesota Housing Partnership in southwestern Minnesota; the Zuni Youth Enrichment Project in Zuni Pueblo, New Mexico; and the Cook Inlet Housing Authority in Anchorage, Alaska.

A Mysterious Opportunity

The August announcement came at a high level: from President Barack Obama, during a visit to Anchorage in which he discussed Arctic and Native Alaskan issues with local officials, and congratulated Cook Inlet Housing Authority (CIHA) on the grant it was receiving from ArtPlace. It was a grant, says Carol Gore, executive director of the Native housing agency, that felt a bit mysterious at first.

“It was the first time ever that we’d applied for funding,” she says, “and we weren’t allowed to say what it was for! It was this nebulous thing that was about transformation through the inclusion of arts and culture, and we kept scratching our heads and saying, hey, we’re in the housing business! We’re used to saying, look at this really cool housing thing that we’ve done. But the grant wasn’t about that.”

The grant was about CIHA and artist allies coming up with ways to incorporate arts and culture, in the broadest sense, into community development. As a Native organization, CIHA was used to embodying Indigenous cultural values in its work. “I’m Alaska Native,” says Gore, “and I’ve always promoted the approach we take, both in our internal communication structure and in how we respond to neighborhoods. I would call it the village approach: everyone is essential and everyone matters.”

And CIHA’s orientation was toward community development too, not just erecting buildings. “We were always focused on creating housing that would respond best to a neighborhood, to what neighborhood people were talking about and concerned about, their community assets,” Gore says. “So we were halfway in, in terms of the CDI goals. But what we were concerned about, to be honest, was that ArtPlace would say, okay, you’re Alaska Native, where are your totem poles?”

Learning Each Other’s Languages

ArtPlace didn’t bring up totem poles. Instead, says Gore, it kept challenging Cook Inlet to come up with out-of-the-box projects in cooperation with artists. But there was an initial obstacle: CHIA and local artists needed to build trust and work towards a common goal. In addition to the confusion caused by a non-arts organization receiving a $3 million arts grant, there were still some bad memories from a previous community initiative to create an arts center in Anchorage’s Mountain View neighborhood. Local artists had recently felt “burned,” as Gore puts it, by the failure of that effort to build an arts center.

CIHA, with $3 million from ArtPlace, began approaching local artists. “We didn’t intend to build an art center,” says Gore, “and we could not clearly...
articulate how, or even why, we wanted to work with artists. In the first year, we had to learn to speak each other’s languages. To that end, CIHA engaged consultants Asia Freeman from the Bunnell Street Arts Center in Homer, Alaska, and Michael Rohd from the Center for Performance and Civic Practice to frame how artists and CIHA could co-design artist interventions to help make an impact in the neighborhoods where CIHA was working.

“Eventually came these ah-has, where they understood where we were coming from, and we understood where they were coming from,” says Gore. “When they realized we wanted to pay them to help us get it right, to help us figure out different ways to engage the community and our residents, it was incredible. Some of the experiments we did with the artists were pretty transformative, not just for us, but for the artist community, too.”

The Church of Love

The focal point of most of these coming-together experiments was an aging, obsolete church building right next to CIHA’s headquarters on Spenard Road. The church had belonged to a Korean-American congregation before the worshippers found a new home elsewhere. CIHA planned to tear it down for parking space. But then the Light Brigade, a local troupe of performers in need of a space to create large-scale art installations for outside exhibits, approached CIHA about the availability of vacant space, which eventually led to a discussion about using the empty church building. CIHA agreed, with trepidation. “We were concerned about insurance, about electricity, about fire, but we said yes,” says Gore. Soon CIHA was letting other artists use the building, redubbed the Church of Love by those artists, and the results showed that there was a need and a role for such a space in Spenard. When the ArtPlace grant came in, the idea of keeping the church and using it to further the grant’s creative-placemaking goals took shape.

Those goals were ambitious. Landscape architects and artists worked to connect and enliven the agency’s multi-building campus, which now centered on the Church of Love, creating a semi-public plaza, adding planters and an interactive “messaging wall”
using wooden letters. These efforts were aimed at activating spaces to engage neighbors to increase safety and help build community. “We really want to portray Spenard Road as something with the potential to be very vibrant and alive; something that’s illuminating,” Chad Taylor of Anchorage’s Intrinsic Landscapes told the Anchorage Daily News.

Artists also created a colorful, on-the-ground mural reimagining the area’s dangerous 3-foot-wide sidewalks as ample 5-foot, 8-foot, and 10-foot passageways. Other on-site works recalled the history of Spenard as Dena’ina land as well as its more recent, funkier past as a strip-club and passageways. Other on-site works recalled the history of Spenard as Dena’ina land as well as its more recent, funkier past as a strip-club and passageways. Other on-site works recalled the history of Spenard as Dena’ina land as well as its more recent, funkier past as a strip-club and passageways. Other on-site works recalled the history of Spenard as Dena’ina land as well as its more recent, funkier past as a strip-club and passageways. Other on-site works recalled the history of Spenard as Dena’ina land as well as its more recent, funkier past as a strip-club and passageways.

Soon the community at large began seeing the church space as a resource. Rock and hip-hop shows, book club meetings, food swaps, classes, cooking lessons, and retreats—occupied the rear portion of the building. Today there are for-rent artist studios in the building. To accomplish this, they streamlined the application process. To accomplish this, they streamlined the application process. To accomplish this, they streamlined the application process. To accomplish this, they streamlined the application process. To accomplish this, they streamlined the application process.

A New Place at the Table

The experience inspired CIHA, always concerned with community development, to create a formal community-development division, Gore points out. At a deeper level, it “moved our community from thinking of culture as a physical thing to seeing it as something we live in,” Gore says. “And I think it changed our relationship with the city, too, because now they’re asking us, what would you do? Can you help us find people to help us think through this issue? We’re at tables that we never thought we would be at, because they see us now as bringing some creative thinking and innovative approaches to those tables.”

**ArtPlace’s Research Strategies Take Shape**

To understand the impact of the CIHA grant and the five others in the CDI program, ArtPlace initiated a new partnership with PolicyLink, an Oakland, California–based research and advocacy organization focused on racial and economic justice and equity. PolicyLink, rooted in the community-development world, would research and document the CDI work along its three-year run, with an orientation toward sharing the results with practitioners who could learn from the experiences of the grantee organizations.

Establishing the relationship with PolicyLink was a joint effort between Crane, working on the CDI program, and Jamie Hand, who was also busy in 2015 launching the first phases of ArtPlace’s new cross-sector research strategy. The year as a whole represented an opportunity to tie together ArtPlace’s programs with the Community Development Matrix as the backbone: the national grants demonstrating model work across different cells of the matrix, the CDI program developing support structures for stakeholders who work across multiple sectors, and the research strategies identifying and translating outcomes of the work in order to engage new sector-specific audiences.

**Reintroducing the National Creative Placemaking Fund**

While the CDI program was launching, F. Javier Torres was busy refining the guidelines and procedures for what was the National Grants program and what became the National Creative Placemaking Fund (NCPF). In 2015, Torres brought on Leila Tamari, and the pair together worked through every aspect of the grant guidelines to increase transparency and equity. One of the first things they did was convene a group of practitioners in the field to discuss the process and glean insight on how to make it more responsive to the field. NCPF had always been designed not just to provide funds to great projects around the country, but to surface the many ways across sectors, artistic disciplines, and contexts that creative placemaking could operate. The grant was competitive, receiving over 1,200 applications annually and only funding about 30 to 50 of those projects, fewer than 5 percent. From the beginning it had a complex review process that included external reviewers and site visits. Torres and Tamari’s goals were to reduce the administrative burden on applicants; ask more questions around community dynamics and intentions of applicants that would make it easier to identify when projects were truly community-led; and root the applications within the two new tools that were guiding ArtPlace’s approach to creative placemaking: the “four points” and the Community Development Matrix.

To accomplish this, they streamlined the application questions to focus on the “four points,” as developed in 2014; they added questions designed to surface community involvement; they increased the numbers and kinds of reviewers and panelists who were a part of the review process; they overhauled the site visit process; and they asked applicants to think about how their projects related to the various sectors and players of the matrix. The latter would allow them to consider topic areas in which ArtPlace had already significantly invested and gaps where they might focus special attention.

As such, the funded projects were able to be mapped to the matrix in a way that would become very useful in the new research strategies, which focused on articulating and translating “what the arts can do” in language that resonated with each of the ten sectors. While the research work began in 2015, it would be 2016 that saw its more formal debut to the public as “Translating Outcomes.” All together, these three programs (NCPF, CDI, and Translating Outcomes) represented ArtPlace’s signature approach to field-building, with the Community Development Matrix at the heart of it all.
Working with ArtPlace has brought additional and unique opportunities to Cook Inlet Housing, as we began to demonstrate that we are more than a housing entity—different funding opportunities that we would never have had if it weren’t for ArtPlace. It expanded our résumé in a positive way. It also caused us to think differently about our organization. We always talked as though we were in the community development business, but we didn’t have a department that intentionally focused on community development. We do now. The community development department is in charge of making sure our real estate acquisitions are strategic, that they’re done with buy-in from the neighborhood, that we know what the expectation is of the neighborhood, that we’re enhancing their existing community assets—all of those things we thought we were doing—but now it’s a lot more intentional.

— Carol Gore

Carol Gore is president/CEO of Cook Inlet Housing Authority (CIHA). Previously, she was vice president of income-producing real estate for Cook Inlet Region, Inc., where she managed a national and Alaska-based portfolio valued at more than $200 million.
Rather than attempting to develop a single approach or system for evaluating creative placemaking project impacts—which vary widely depending on local context, stated goals, and partners— the Translating Outcomes strategy was to conduct deep dives into each of the sectors of the matrix in order to analyze, make legible, and give language to how arts and culture practitioners have long been partners in helping to achieve each sector’s goals. Overall, the initiative served as an incremental, segmented approach to building creative placemaking knowledge for and with a diverse range of community development practitioners interested in taking up creative placemaking work.

Finding the Sweet Spot

The research process designed by Jamie Hand had three steps. The first was to commission a field scan—a written research report, based primarily on interviews with practitioners, that described the arts and culture activity already happening in a given sector, alongside an analysis of key goals or needs in that sector that arts and culture might address. An open RFP went out in 2015 to researchers to create the first three scans—housing, public safety, and health. Danya Sherman, who was selected to lead the housing field scan that year, joined the ArtPlace team in 2016 to support the research strategies work in a broader capacity.

In commissioning the field scans, “we were asking questions like: What are the biggest priorities in, for example, the housing sector?” says Hand. “What do housing practitioners care about? What are the outcomes that the housing sector is already measuring? We were really trying to understand our audience in the housing sector, while also trying to understand how artists are showing up in support of housing issues. The ultimate goal was to bring these two analyses together to find a sweet spot, an alignment of what the housing sector needs help with, and what we know the arts can do to help.”

Another major goal of the field scans was to help artists understand the languages of the different sectors of community development, and to help people working in each of the sectors understand how artists talked about their work. This process of translation, or developing a shared language that could advance creative placemaking work, was seen as critical to support the complex, messy processes that happen in multidisciplinary or comprehensive work.

The next step was to convene a cross-sector working group to vet the field scan research, make field-building recommendations, and build a community of like-minded practitioners. Early 2016 saw the first two of these gatherings—a public safety working group held in Oakland, California, co-convened with Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC) and Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs’ Activities League (DSAL), and a housing working group held in Detroit, Michigan, co-convened with Enterprise Community Partners and The Kresge Foundation—followed by publication of the housing and safety field scans at the ArtPlace Summit in April. Working groups participants were carefully selected to achieve a diverse constellation of voices, highlighting the perspectives of artists, practitioners, community members, and thought leaders who are closest to the work, positioning them as both experts and critical stewards of the creative placemaking field.

After the working group gathering came the third step, where a resource would be developed—a tool, report, or other program that could help guide community development practitioners who wanted to bring arts and culture strategies into their sphere, whether it was youth development, housing, immigration, or any of the other sectors. Through all three steps, ArtPlace explicitly cultivated strategic, non-arts partners to lead the resource development, building alliances and networks that would ensure support for creative placemaking practice beyond the termination of ArtPlace in 2020.

A Water-Based Partnership

Research for the environment and energy sector began in the fall of 2016 and was led by Helicon Collaborative, a California- and New York-based research firm and consultancy committed to sustainability, equity, and the arts. The following year, just after ArtPlace’s 2017 Summit in Seattle, Helicon facilitated the arts, culture, and environment working group, which brought together twenty-six people to examine and deliberate on the initial research findings. Representatives of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Natural Resources Defense Council, the Trust for Public Land, and many other prominent environmental organizations joined environmentally concerned artists and thinkers at the table. The resulting field scan, entitled Farther, Faster, Together: How Arts and Culture Can...
Accelerate Environmental Progress, lifted up a recurring theme across the research and deliberations, and in creative placemaking projects across the country: water.

Among the working group participants was US Water Alliance program manager Danielle Mayorga, attending on behalf of executive director Radhika Fox who, in an earlier interview, expressed interest in the potential for water and arts and culture projects.

“Danielle immediately got it,” says Jamie Hand. “We had Grist, we had the Sierra Club, we had all of these other potential partners in the environmental sector raising their hand to collaborate in some way. But something about the way Danielle responded was special, and then Radhika too, at the CEO level. Partnering with the US Water Alliance was one of the most immediate and intuitive matches I’ve experienced in all of the ten sectors that we’ve been looking at.”

The US Water Alliance, headquartered in Oakland, California, and Washington, D.C., is a nonprofit membership organization, supported by water utilities, private companies, and water-focused nonprofits, and dedicated to public education and policy advocacy about water issues. Under the banner “One Water,” it urges investment in the nation’s water infrastructure, striving to influence lawmakers, businesses, policy organizations, labor groups, and others.

One Water

The One Water concept advanced by the US Water Alliance represents a holistic view that sees all water — drinking water, wastewater, stormwater, gray water, and other states of H2O — as a single resource to be managed sustainably to promote multiple values, including a cleaner environment, economic growth, and thriving urban and rural communities, with an emphasis on racial and social equity. Given the wide-ranging nature of its work, Fox sees the role of her organization as opening up the field of water management and advocacy to new ideas. “The whole point of the Alliance,” she says, “is to be this collaborative platform that’s pushing the sector to innovate in all kinds of things — equity issues, smart water systems, and so on — so promoting arts and culture was basically another dimension of that role for us.”

“For the most part,” she adds, “the way in which art has shown up in the water sector is, well, you build a treatment plant and you paint a mural on it — that kind of thing, right? But ArtPlace exposed us to all of these very different ways that arts and culture could be meaningfully built into water-management processes and other areas. There was a lot of learning, and finding a common language, of course. Jamie and her team were figuring out how you frame things in a way that’s relevant to this sector of water, and we were learning about how you engage with artists and culture-bearers in ways that are respectful of their approach and their wisdom.”

Collaborative Learning

The partnership with the US Water Alliance had two key elements: creation of a "blueprint" for water managers to better understand arts and culture strategies and opportunities, and integration of the arts (and artists) into the Alliance’s annual One Water Summit. Both efforts were guided by an advisory committee comprised of water and wastewater utility managers, green infrastructure and conservation professionals, and artists and designers deeply engaged in water-related issues.

The ensuing publication, Advancing One Water Through Arts and Culture: A Blueprint for Action, highlighted eight projects in the water sector, ranging from an exploration of the meaning of water in Native communities via storytelling, dance, and games, led by the National Tribal Water Center in Alaska, to community conversations about climate change and sea-level rise in Miami, New York, and several other major cities, led by artists Eve Moshier and Heidi Quante.

This blueprint also underlined seven ways that artists and arts organizations can advance an integrated and inclusive approach to water management, in keeping with One Water goals. Among the strategies outlined, the report notes that “Artists can be valuable allies as water leaders seek to build bridges between different stakeholder groups who may have different languages, perspectives, and goals.”

Similarly, “arts-driven experiences can help people open up in ways that other professional settings do not, allowing them to broaden their perspective and see things from other points of view.”

This stakeholder-facing role for artists resonated with Fox, who says, “I want to push the water sector to think about all the different ways that you can bring artists in on the front end of a process — whether it’s designing the treatment plant, or whether it’s thinking about how you do a kind of community engagement that’s authentic, and not just the same old public meeting.”

To make a real splash, the Water Alliance planned to release the blueprint at its next annual One Water Summit, where several supplemental programs and panels could reinforce the new ideas being put forward. Under Fox’s leadership, One Water Summits have increasingly been showcases for new concepts and approaches to water practice and policy, bringing together delegations of stakeholders from all corners of the water industry. For the first time ever, the 2018 One Water Summit, held in the Twin Cities of Minneapolis and Saint Paul, included a delegation of over a hundred artists hailing from across the country and from the Minnesota region.

One offsite session was held at the Water Bar & Public Studio, a Minneapolis arts venue for “water tastings” — sippings of water from various municipal water systems — where artist Shanai Matteson, collaborative director of the Water Bar, helped lead discussions and workshops on water issues. Matteson, who was a member of the advisory committee and had originally been introduced to the US Water Alliance at ArtPlace’s working group meeting in Seattle, had this to say about that 2018 gathering: “Together with partners, we served water from cities across the country. We were fortunate to be joined by water utility leaders from Philadelphia, Kansas City, Tucson, and a number of other places. While we served water together, we learned about their plans to utilize arts and culture to more deeply engage the people and communities they serve with the critical water issues that must be addressed together.”

Expanding Partnerships and Practice to Advance the Work

As ArtPlace continued to increase both its participatory and partnerships in major conferences across professions, and its own convening to expand its network of allies and ambassadors, the latter part of 2016 was marked with two major events in the burgeoning field.

In October the organization joined the University of Iowa’s Rural Policy Research Institute and Art of the Rural, an arts-based promoter of rural culture and rural-urban dialogue, at the Next Generation Rural Creative Placemaking Summit on the University of Iowa campus in Iowa City.

At the time, many folks in rural communities felt like the language of creative placemaking wasn’t yet fully representing the rural experience. This conference represented a significant step toward high-lighting that imbalance. Grantees and allies of ArtPlace, including the Santo Domingo project lead, architect Joseph Kunke; Laura Zabel, director of Springboard for the Arts; and Appalshop staffs, were among those who gave presentations in sessions that ranged from the role of agriculture and
of universities in rural placemaking to the growth of ethnic diversity in the countryside and how community health and economic development are fostered by rural placemakers. Jamie Bennett joined a closing keynote panel entitled *Arts and Culture Inclusion in National Placemaking Partnerships — Lessons Learned and Future Guideposts.*

In a video interview during the Summit, Bennett summed up one important rationale for the gathering — one that could apply to the ongoing ArtPlace Summits and to ArtPlace’s burgeoning partnerships as well. “A lot of us here are facing the exact same opportunities and the exact same challenges,” he said. “So it’s really about coming together as a community of practice — a community where we can share with each other our joys, of course—but more usefully, share the challenges and obstacles that we’re confronting in our work.”

This focus on rural creative placemaking wasn’t limited to a one-time-only event. As ArtPlace continued to refine its grant guidelines, the organization sought to ensure that rural projects were receiving as much focus and attention as those in more populous areas. Through its direct grant-making programs (NCPF and CDI), ArtPlace has invested $25,000 of its NCPF grant dollars, or 29 percent, to rural and Native organizations.

The second gathering, in December 2016, would also celebrate a landmark moment in the field. ArtPlace partnered with the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) and Partners for Livable Communities to host a launch event for the new NEA publication *How to Do Creative Placemaking* at the Wilson Center in Washington, D.C. The event, which was live streamed and recorded, brought together practitioners from across the field with an audience of national policymakers and press to help reset the notion of creative placemaking from being a new concept to one that was rooted in a mature practice. Indeed, many of the practitioners in the room had spent decades developing deep experience and expertise in arts-based community development. The event at the Wilson Center was a valuable opportunity to celebrate that history and validate the work at the federal level and on a national stage.

Right at the outset, ArtPlace and the US Water Alliance just decided to trust each other. We at the Alliance decided to trust that you’ve got a set of wisdom and capacities around arts and culture that we don’t have, and that we have a set of wisdom and capacities around water that you don’t have. I remember, ArtPlace would sometimes offer framing or edits to things, and I’d say, no, that’s just not going to resonate. Or the other way: this is the perspective that artists and culture-bearers have, and you don’t want to come across as extractive, or as tokenizing them. We were really honest with each other, and respectful of what we knew that the other might not.

— Radhika Fox

Radhika Fox is CEO of the US Water Alliance. Previously, she directed the policy and government affairs agenda for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, and served as the Federal Policy Director at PolicyLink, where she coordinated the organization’s policy agenda on a wide range of issues, including infrastructure investment, transportation, sustainable communities, economic inclusion, and workforce development.
The Partnership Principle
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In 2017, the last year that ArtPlace would grant individual projects under the National Creative Placemaking Fund, Leila Tamari, senior program officer at ArtPlace, and F. Javier Torres, director of national grantmaking, were administering the grants in line with the new guidelines they'd developed in the previous couple of years. And, as elsewhere in ArtPlace’s work, the grant-making was focusing more on partnership and attempting to upend the potentially damaging power dynamics of a national funder making local investments.

“The partnership effort really started with geography,” says Tamari, “because many of our funders were committed to supporting work in specific places. So, to encourage strong applications from those places [and others we hadn’t funded previously], we had to do a lot of outreach — we had to act like organizers.” They began by setting up outreach sessions, bringing together multiple stakeholders who might never have previously been in the same room. Some of the very first conversations with people who might later become finalists and grantees would begin at these outreach sessions.

The emphasis on partnership was also reflected in the application questions and the overall process. There was a need to better understand the intentions, values, and truths behind each application, which often hid behind a veil of “grant-speak.” The semifinalist process was a next step in relationship building, and simply an opportunity to learn more, via video call, about the work and people steering the proposed project. Seeing the Sites

Once the finalists were selected, Torres and Tamari visited each of them with an external panelist. A site visit, Tamari recalls, in advance of making a grant, is “incredibly important for any kind of place-based work, because you might not be able to get an understanding of the actual relationships and dynamics of the people and the place until you’re together in person.”

She and Torres supported the finalists in preparing for the site visits and in submitting their full proposal. Whether clarifying the application questions or conversations from the site visits, or being a sounding board and helping brainstorm and forecast what kinds of questions the panel might ask, speaking with honesty about the process was important on all sides, because ultimately, everyone was learning together.

Taller de Permiso

One of the projects funded in this last NCPF year epitomized just how multifaceted creative placemaking had become over the years. Three artist-organizer-activists combined their talents to address a neighborhood challenge in the border city of Brownsville, Texas. Many residents of the Buena Vida neighborhood supported themselves in the informal economy — selling street food, clothes, and other things, often without permits from the city, which were costly and time-consuming to obtain. Celeste De Luna, Nansi Guevara, and Christina Maria Kochitlizhuautl Sukhghian Patiño Houle responded by constituting themselves as Las Imaginistas and launching a program they called Taller de Permiso, which can be translated either as Permit Workshop or Permission Workshop. The double meaning was important, because the project sought both to help informal-economy workers navigate the municipal permitting process and to encourage people in the community to give themselves broader and deeper permission to dream, to know, and to act. Taller de Permiso unfolded as multiple projects under those three permissions. The group intended to question the colonial framing of permitting — who has the right to give permission. A Zapatista saying, “The people command and the government obeys,” informed some of their thinking around power.

Patiño Houle, a visual and media artist, theater director, and choreographer, was running an artist residency as part of a 2016 NEA Our Town project in downtown Brownsville called the Activating Vacancy Arts Incubator, administered by the Dallas-based community-design nonprofit buildingcommunityWORKSHOP (known as bcWorkshop). De Luna, a printmaker, and Guevara, a visual artist and illustrator who also works with textiles, were two of the resident artists helping community members create works of art about life in the Rio Grande Valley and the political, economic, and social issues that shaped that life. As the three collaborated on the six-month project, they became aware of the Buena Vida neighborhood, adjacent to downtown and right on the border with Mexico. As part of their Our Town work, the trio were interviewing small business owners downtown and connecting with Buena Vida residents. “The thing that was really compelling to all three of us from the beginning was immigration,” Patiño Houle says, “because it’s something that’s very important to each of us in our personal lives. But as we were working, the thing we would hear from immigrant community partners and from immigrant advocacy groups was that, of course, they were frustrated with the difficulties in getting citizenship. But what was really getting in the way of their survival on a daily basis, and keeping them from having the quality of life they envisioned, was that their
entrepreneurial spirit was being blocked by the city’s regulations.

One small business owner told her that it had taken him so long to get his permit to open up that he had paid months and months of rent without having any income. “He didn’t have a clear way to understand what precise list of permits he needed, so every time he would get a permit, the city would say okay, fine, now you need this other permit. He would wait for that permit, only to be told, you can’t even get that permit until you get this other permit.”

The trio decided to address the dilemma — with imagination — and Las Imaginistas were born.

They connected with ArtPlace when the organization did an outreach presentation at the Workshop. “Following that presentation we had a conversation about continuing our work together by designing a project as collaborators,” says Patiño Houle.

The “Know” phase comprised multiple projects from 2018 to the present: small-business incubation workshops in cooperation with the University of Texas–Rio Grande Valley; printmaking and civic-engagement workshops for young people; and a vendor guide, distributed throughout the Brownsville region, with information about how vendedores and other emprendedores (entrepreneurs) can sell their wares under existing law. The guide is adorned with art produced by traditional Mexican block-printing processes, which the young people studied in the art workshops.

“And then we’ve had a number of mercaditos, little markets, where our vendors have been selling on a semiregular basis,” Patiño Houle says. “The vendors have also made a mobile market stand that has done some pop-ups. Then at the end of this year we’ll release a video that helps explain some of the challenges with the current permitting system and how people can get involved to change it.”

To wrap up the “Know” phase, Taller de Permiso issued a report, co-authored with ArtPlace partner Civic Arts, about how permitting for mobile vendors works in Brownsville and how the laws could be changed to better accommodate the vendors. It also initiated talks with city commissioners about creating a pilot vending zone where vendors could sell with a special permit tailored to their needs.

The “Act” phase focused around cafesitos (“little coffees”) — gatherings where vendors, Imaginistas, and city staff exchanged ideas about what to do next, and how to bring their concepts and needs to city council members. “Then COVID happened,” says Patiño Houle. “So we went through a re-strategizing for the current moment and have created a co-op incubator in collaboration with Border Workers United. Twelve vendors will work over a year to launch the region’s first co-op, and it’s the only women-led co-op that we have heard of in the entire state.”

While the NCFF projects were continuing to ask questions around how local communities come together, ArtPlace was busy looking at its own national community and how to support its long-term sustainability.

The research strategies were continuing to add field scans, working groups, and partnership investments across the sectors of the Community Development Matrix, with the 2017 release of the environment field scan and working group and the transportation field scan and working group in Indianapolis, Indiana, in partnership with Transportation for America. This process of identifying a critical audience rooted in a community development sector, analyzing its frameworks, and forming partnerships to advance practice that were embedded in existing infrastructure within that sector was by that point proving to be a valuable model for how ArtPlace could make strategic investments at the field level.

Indeed, as ArtPlace’s signature National Creative Placemaking Fund was culminating, the organization was seeking to expand its notion of what it meant to foster the field of creative placemaking. With its 2020 sunset in mind, it seemed evident that winding down the national grant program would allow ArtPlace to start putting more of its time and resources toward answering the question: How will creative placemaking continue to thrive and grow without the large node of activity that ArtPlace has represented in the field?

This third phase of work would seek to build on what the staff had learned from major investments in projects (NCFF) and organizations (CDD) and continue to develop and expand on the deep work happening through its research strategies in supporting knowledge and networks. Meanwhile, with Communication hires Mariroga Garcia in 2015, Adam Erickson in 2016, and Sarah Westlake in 2017, ArtPlace was ready to begin significantly expanding its storytelling and convening functions.

Together, the staff embarked on a strategic planning process that would prove foundational for a suite of new strategies in its final three years.

Know and Act

Patiño Houle is proud that the Imaginistas and the Taller did more than just train people in small-business practices — they created a community within the community. “Now community members talk to one another and help one another,” she says. “And they end up imagining and dreaming their own ideas.”

One of the challenges of colonialist thinking and economic injustice, she adds, is that it feels personal. “It feels like I can’t pay the rent. I don’t know how to get money for food. I’m getting a ticket for vending illegally. But then you hear a bunch of other people having the exact same experience, getting a ticket for vending on the street, and you begin to ask, why are they giving tickets for selling food on the street? We need a permit, but none of us can read it because it’s in English. Then the question becomes, why is it in English, as opposed to why don’t I speak English? “When community members come together the questions change and the community becomes so much more powerful.”

Where Are the Gaps?

From “Why Can’t I?” to “Why Do They?”

Patrño Houle is proud that the Imaginistas and the Taller did more than just train people in small-business practices — they created a community within the community. “Now community members talk to one another and help one another,” she says. “And they end up imagining and dreaming their own ideas.”

One of the challenges of colonialist thinking and economic injustice, she adds, is that it feels personal. “It feels like I can’t pay the rent. I don’t know how to get money for food. I’m getting a ticket for vending illegally. But then you hear a bunch of other people having the exact same experience, getting a ticket for vending on the street, and you begin to ask, why are they giving tickets for selling food on the street? We need a permit, but none of us can read it because it’s in English. Then the question becomes, why is it in English, as opposed to why don’t I speak English? “When community members come together the questions change and the community becomes so much more powerful.”

The “Act” phase focused around cafesitos (“little coffees”) — gatherings where vendors, Imaginistas, and city staff exchanged ideas about what to do next, and how to bring their concepts and needs to city council members. “Then COVID happened,” says Patiño Houle. “So we went through a re-strategizing for the current moment and have created a co-op incubator in collaboration with Border Workers United. Twelve vendors will work over a year to launch the region’s first co-op, and it’s the only women-led co-op that we have heard of in the entire state.”
The power of ArtPlace is that it has described arts and culture as something important and central in contemporary American society. Across a host of community development sectors, it’s influenced real decisions affecting neighborhoods and communities, and through that work it’s supported a wide range of creative initiatives and the practitioners whose artistic practice helps us see problems and solutions differently. I think that is a major achievement.

Philanthropy should have more time-bound initiatives. The time constraint is both a productive challenge and an opportunity; the limited time period gives permission to be brave and take risks. It focuses attention on progress and innovation rather than on the balance necessary to make an organization last.

—Judilee Reed

Judilee Reed is program director of Creative Communities at the William Penn Foundation, overseeing its arts, culture, and great public spaces grant-making portfolio, as well as national initiatives. Previously, she led the Thriving Cultures Program at the Surdna Foundation and was executive director of Leveraging Investments in Creativity (LINC).
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A Culture of Convening
From its beginnings, ArtPlace has valued and promoted relationship building in the field through convening. Peer learning has been a natural result, all in service of helping relationships and ideas spread as core to the foundation of a healthy field of practice. The organization’s annual summits have set the tone for its concept of collaborative partnership: they have been forums in which to generate and exchange ideas about creative placemaking and to support the building of communities-within-communities. ArtPlace set up the summits to create a space where people from many different professional and community backgrounds could connect in meaningful ways: artists, housing developers, funders, researchers, health practitioners, and more. They came from every corner of the United States and from tribal, rural, suburban, and urban communities.

With its national grant program ended, 2018 became a year of increased focus for bringing communities together, including ArtPlace’s ongoing work with the six CDI organizations; its working groups, rooted in the ten sectors of the Community Development Matrix and the field scans of the sectors; partnerships emerging from the working groups; and a new series of regional events focused on creating new on-ramps for local practitioners interested in joining the conversation.

Regional Confabs

In this year, too, ArtPlace developed a new partnership with the National Consortium for Creative Placemaking (NCCP) that would see an explosion of activity around the country in the form of regional leadership summits.

"We were thinking that it’s going to be really important that people who are in similar regions or in the same state get a chance to get to know each other in better ways, deeper ways," says Erickson, "to share information and foster a community of practitioners, funders, and researchers. So we started to think about hosting regional summits."

At about the same time, the NEA announced a grant to the New Jersey–based NCCP to support multiple regional creative placemaking gatherings. "I started to talk with the NCCP’s executive director," says Erickson, "and soon we on the ArtPlace team decided that we would like to support their efforts, which we hoped would help build a long-term capacity beyond us and serve as a useful platform for new conversations."

The 2018 regional summits, each of which had between 150 and 300 participants, were held between March and October in Chattanooga, Tennessee; and Denver, Colorado; the Newark, New Jersey area; Charleston, West Virginia; and College Park, Maryland. Rural and urban communities were represented, and presentations and discussions covered many of the community-development areas that had become keystones for ArtPlace, including public safety, gentrification, equity, and economic development.

Hidden Gems

"The 2018 Summit in Louisville, Kentucky, and the 2019 Summit in Jackson, Mississippi, would continue this format as a signature ArtPlace offering."
The Tenderloin artists that Wildflowers found were, for the most part, creating for themselves or a small circle of friends rather than for the art market or the other commercial creative spheres. Some have struggled with addiction, homelessness, or involvement with the justice system. Some are refugees or the children of refugees. All have found ways to create and express themselves in the midst of all of the challenges faced by people whose material resources are limited.

Liu, Mei, and their colleagues were initially focused on simply locating and identifying the artists. As they met with them and saw their work, it became clear that many of them were depicting or otherwise expressing their relationship with the Tenderloin. The artworks were so powerful, so illuminating of the lives and the challenges faced by Tenderloin residents, that Wildflowers decided to turn what had been mainly a census into a celebration. The project included grants to support some of the artists, and Liu and colleagues decided that the grants should be formally awarded in a ceremony. Groups convened that would also act as a fresh look at the Tenderloin and what it meant to some of its most imaginative interpreters.

The ceremony, which was supported by Marion R. Weber, Kalloipoea Foundation, and friends of Wildflowers, took place in the auditorium of the Kelly Cullen Community, a former YMCA building converted into low-income housing for people experiencing chronic homelessness.

The awards ceremony marked the end of the ArtPlace-funded works through the lens of the artists—how Tenderloin residents create safety by protecting one another. “How do we heal?” Liu asks. “Healing isn’t about medication, right? Healing is about coming to terms with yourself and the trauma that you’ve actually protected us.” This notion of caring and protecting others and being protected is very, very strong; it’s the informal code of the community, how they actually create safety in the neighborhood.

Healing was another theme that emerged vividly, as refugee artists, artists of color, and others revealed the traumas they were dealing with—racism, incarceration, memories of war, and other pain. “What Tenderloin people are creating,” says Liu, “is an emotional landscape, a cultural environment that nurtures healing, and the artists are revealing it and contributing to it.”

Looking Ahead

When Liu and Mei invited city officials and national funders to the town hall to experience the artists’ work, they were hoping to affect policy, and that hope continues. “But it will take time for policymakers and funders to shift from planning change to being attentive and receptive to what is working in the neighborhoods,” says Liu.

It’s crucial, Liu and Mei say, that policymakers understand how the community works through the lens of the artists—how Tenderloin residents create safety by protecting one another. “How do we heal?” Liu asks. “Healing isn’t about medication, right? Healing is about coming to terms with yourself and the trauma that you’ve actually protected others from.” In the Tenderloin they’re making it happen.

Residents have created what Liu calls “a culture of necessity. Elders and young people and drug dealers and homeless come together and are conscious of one another and help one another; they get and give a helping hand. And that happens every day.

“Our policymakers need to know that when they think about safe conditions, when they think about health and healing, they need to ask, how are people doing it themselves, and how do we strengthen that? How should public spaces be designed to mirror what is happening elsewhere? How should neighborhood developments illuminate and foster a culture of caring and protecting in public spaces?”

Gatherings About Health

Health, healing, and policy were central themes in ArtPlace’s research strategies, too, as it continued its translated research agenda. In 2018, ArtPlace announced a major research partnership with the University of Florida’s Center for Arts in Medicine for an initiative called Creating Healthy Communities: Arts + Public Health in America. The two-year project sought, in the words of the official announcement, “to accelerate innovations at the intersections of the arts, creative placemaking, community development, and public health.”

As with other sectors, the health research would rely on traditional academic research paired with deep participation and engagement from artists, practitioners, and policymakers. Under the leadership of director Jill Sonke, however, the Center for Arts in Medicine held not just one cross-sector working group, but three. In Cincinnati, Ohio; Austin, Texas; Athens, Georgia; Washington, D.C.; Los Angeles, California; Portland, Oregon; Lexington, Kentucky; and Orlando, Florida, in formats ranging from intimate focus groups and policy roundtables to multi-day conclaves and major conferences. Additional organizations such as the Alliance for Arts in Research Universities (a2ru) and the National Organization for Arts in Health (NOAH) partnered on several of these gatherings, with over 300 individuals lending their personal and professional perspectives to the research.

One gathering, in March 2019, was particularly notable as it represented a culmination of all that came before. Twelve researchers, with wildly diverse expertise ranging from social work and behavioral science to urban planning and neuro-aesthetics, convened for a weeklong writing retreat in Micanopy, Florida, to mine the learnings from prior gatherings and co-author what would become the public health
field scan. After incorporating feedback from another nineteen external reviewers, the Creating Healthy Communities Through Cross-Sector Collaboration white paper was jointly published by the Center for Arts in Medicine and ArtPlace America.

The University of Florida partnership would deepen even further in 2019, when the Center for Arts in Medicine received seed funding, as part of ArtPlace’s investments in higher education, to support a special issue of Health Promotion Practice with the Society for Public Health Education—modeling precisely what ArtPlace focused on in its final two years: embedding creative placemaking in organizations and networks that could assure its ongoing sustainability.

**Toward Embedding**

The strategic planning process that began in 2017 continued in 2018 largely behind the scenes. The Community Development Investments program finished up its three-year term and work had begun in earnest by PolicyLink to capture the big ideas from that initiative. Slowly, a new strategy emerged as the staff worked together on a vision that would bring additional resources to audiences ArtPlace had not yet engaged.

Within that new vision, ArtPlace was also poised to make one final evolution to its grant-making philosophy. As long-time staff member Javier Torres departed, ArtPlace brought on staffer Maura Cuffie with a new charge: to determine, in its final years, how ArtPlace could direct resources that de-center its role and free up the power residing in the practitioners and places that make up the field of creative placemaking.
Artists, activists, community developers, council members, public health professionals, tribal leaders, and economic developers are just a few of the diverse actors convened over the years by ArtPlace. Their participation in ArtPlace-led national summits, panels, and facilitated gatherings has seeded a movement that is here to stay: a movement that acknowledges that arts and culture play a critical role in the well-being of communities everywhere; a movement that, thanks to ArtPlace, will live well into the next decade and beyond.

Creative placemaking practice has evolved significantly since the term was first coined in 2010. ArtPlace’s annual summits and other field gatherings presented a forum for lifting up ground-breaking practice and supporting the field’s evolution. They offered a unique and profound space for artists and local leaders to reflect on their practice while simultaneously connecting with peers from across the country. A space to learn, ponder, and celebrate the unique role that arts and culture play in community transformation.

Via field working groups, community development investments, and other gatherings, ArtPlace invited new allies to join the movement. From transportation officials to public safety leaders, these allies have become key champions of arts and culture as a powerful tool to advance equitable communities. Through convening these meetings, ArtPlace has forged lasting cross-sector relationships on both the national and hyperlocal levels. New relationships and connections were seeded across geography, inclusive of rural, tribal, urban, and suburban places.

The sheer diversity of the creative placemaking field is perhaps the most notable legacy of ArtPlace’s leadership in convening and connecting. This moment in our nation’s history demands a future that has yet to be imagined—a future that will require collective action to advance racial justice, and ingenuity to overcome the devastation of a global pandemic. Thanks to ArtPlace, the arts and community development sectors have forged collaborative relationships that hold the power to boldly and creatively chart a way forward.

— Jen Hughes

Jen Hughes is the director of Design and Creative Placemaking for the National Endowment for the Arts. She oversees grant portfolios that support the design and creative placemaking fields, as well as leadership initiatives that include the Mayors’ Institute on City Design and the Citizens’ Institute on Rural Design.
By this point, ArtPlace had done a lot of research to support its new investments. In 2018, ArtPlace worked with The Kresge Foundation, Arizona State University, and the National Endowment for the Arts to commission a fieldwide survey. The results demonstrated that creative placemaking was spreading into new sectors. The survey assessed the growth of creative placemaking in the context of Bridgespan’s Strong Field Framework, which looks at the strength of a variety of components:

- Shared identity
- Standards of practice
- Knowledge base
- Leadership and grassroots support
- Funding and supporting policy

Sarah Calderon, managing director of ArtPlace, says, “We compared our field to other recently developed fields, such as palliative care and green buildings, to understand how they were built and how they grew” because of their very strong standards of practice.

With the survey, the organization was looking for areas in which creative placemaking was on the rise but could benefit from further support, or where it could achieve outcomes that defined a stronger field.

At the 2019 Summit in Jackson, Mississippi, ArtPlace announced that in its final years it would be undertaking a wide range of new activities. This would include more investment in each of the ten matrix sectors through its research strategies and building on the learnings from the CDI program in the community development space. ArtPlace would also be bringing a similar philosophy to advancing the creative placemaking practices of local government staff, identifying a new suite of strategies that would focus on the arts sector and artists; and partnering with higher education to disseminate resources and fund research and projects. There would also be a continued expansion of storytelling and convening work as well as providing significant resources to local regions to support their own visions for long-term creative placemaking practice. If that sounds like an ambitious plan for two years, it was.

In 2019, ArtPlace was finally ready to announce a suite of activities that it believed would help continue to strengthen creative placemaking as a field and deepen its roots as a practice that lived within many players and institutions.
Placemaking in Higher Education

One way to move rapidly in these new strategies was to identify partners that were ready to go. "We were looking for audiences that we knew were almost there," says Calderon. "The work was starting to bubble up in higher education, for example." Calderon reached out to a number of institutions to learn what was happening in partnership with respect to creative placemaking: instruction, degree programs, scholarships, and so on.

"There were social-practice arts programs being offered," she says. "Some of the conservatories were changing the way that they were thinking about preparing artists to go into the world; there was a shift toward understanding that artists needed to have more job opportunities when they left school. So we knew that there was intrinsic interest and more creative partnerships with respect to creative placemaking: instruction, degree programs, scholarships, and so on.

The Indigenous Vision

And at the University of New Mexico, ArtPlace invested in the Indigenous Design and Planning Institute (ID+Pi) to help it create Engaging Indigenous Creative Placemakers—Connecting the Dots, a program that will share case studies and curricula among planning programs at the country’s Tribal Colleges and Universities (TCUs), linking them with twenty-three ArtPlace-funded projects in Indian country.

ID+Pi was founded in 2011 by Theodore (Ted) Jojola, a Distinguished Professor at UNM and a Regents’ Professor in the Community and Regional Planning Program in the university’s School of Architecture and Planning. Jojola, an enrolled member of the Pueblo of Isleta in central New Mexico, has forged a notable career teaching, researching, and writing in the fields of architecture, planning, Native American studies, and human rights. He founded ID+Pi after being incensed by a glaring omission in an exhibition in the School of Architecture’s gallery, organized by the American Institute of Architects.

"It was supposed to be highlighting the 150 most enduring buildings in the United States," he says. "And there was Thomas Jefferson, all that classical work, but absolutely no recognition of Indigenous architecture. I said, ‘Something is wrong here.’ The Institute was set up in order to even the playing field.”

Jojola and others at the University of New Mexico approached ArtPlace in 2013 to see if the Institute could help. Calderon adds that Indigenous people face multiple challenges—"our built environment, our housing, our education, our ability to preserve and maintain our cultures, traditions, and languages. Everyone has been telling us, from the outside, how we should approach these problems. The Indigenous planning theory and process is about finding those answers within ourselves."
A Point of Motivation

The consortium has begun with iD+Pi’s work with Diné College in Tsaile, Arizona, the oldest tribally controlled college on the continent. Jojola sees the partnership there as a two-step process. In the first year iD+Pi works directly with their newly established Bachelor of Fine Arts program in order to see how they can integrate Indigenous planning concepts into their curriculum. This will include engaging and integrating local Diné artisans into placemaking/placeknowing studios designed to empower them by formulating regional approaches that create diversified and local economies. “Then, secondly,” he says, “use them as an example for other tribal community colleges throughout the country to see whether or not they might be interested in following suit.”

Connecting the TCUs with the ArtPlace projects in their vicinity, and helping them integrate the lessons of these projects into their curricula, is academically important, says Jojola, “because it becomes a point of motivation for students. When they see their own people, their own communities, doing these kinds of things, it’s no longer an abstraction. It’s real and it’s meaningful and it can begin to pipeline them into careers oriented towards planning and development, as well as creative placemaking.”

More Lessons, More Players

Higher education wasn’t the only venue for sharing lessons and tools to transform practice. With the culmination of the Community Development Investments program, PolicyLink began releasing extensive research and documentation exploring how community development organizations could form meaningful partnerships with artists, what internal transformations organizations had to make to accommodate new work, how arts-based strategies contributed to local community organizing, and what the impact of arts-based strategies was on key community development outcomes and social fabric. These lessons came in the form of policy briefs, strategically placed articles, conference sessions, a brand-new website (communitydevelopment.art) for PolicyLink to share knowledge long-term, and a capstone publication in partnership with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco that would serve as a seminal piece showcasing the evolution of conversations around creative placemaking from 2014 to 2019. Transforming Community Development Through Arts & Culture was released at a major event in November 2019 at the Yerba Buena Center for the Arts in San Francisco, followed by a similar event in partnership with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York in early 2020. These events featured a number of voices from around the field exploring the connections between arts, culture, and equitable development.

The publication also featured articles by many of ArtPlace’s other partners who were involved in further developing learning tools for the field. For example, ArtPlace’s research work in the housing sector had spurred a series of learning visits and the development of a new course at the NeighborWorks Training Institute, “Leveraging Arts and Culture for Affordable Housing and Equitable Community Development,” led by ArtPlace research associate Danya Sherman and consultant Theresa Hwang of Department of Places. The research team had also supported Enterprise Community Partners to expand its well-known Enterprise Rose Fellowship to include artists, in addition to architects and designers, as embedded fellows in community development organizations.

One other partnership that ArtPlace advanced was with the National Alliance of Community Economic Development Associations (NACEDA), which helped create opportunities at the biannual People & Places conference to help share lessons for community development practitioners. ArtPlace also supported NACEDA to develop a body of work with the states of Minnesota, New Jersey, and Texas to look at state policy innovations that would support creative placemaking.

Lyz Crane also began to work on documenting lessons learned from providing technical assistance to the CDI participants, much of which had happened through an ongoing partnership with the Center for Performance and Civic Practice. Dubbed the CDI Core Competencies, this framework would become useful in considering the range of knowledge and skills needed for community development entities to incorporate arts and culture.

The forays into policy, government, and skill-building around comprehensive practice were also taking place in a new suite of strategies focused on local government. ArtPlace was already investing in artists in government settings through its research partnerships in several sectors. Building on recommendations from the transportation working group, Transportation for America was facilitating
artist-in-residence programs in Minnesota’s and Washington’s Departments of Transportation, the first-ever state-level artist residencies in the country. In the immigration sector, research partner Welcoming America began piloting arts-based welcoming plans across its network of local government partners committed to building cohesion among new and long-term residents.

In partnership with the national organization Civic Arts, ArtPlace formed two new relationships that would allow it to expand its support for local government staff extensively. The first, with the International City/County Management Association, would result in the creation of a new guide for creative placemaking geared toward city managers. The second, with Engaging Local Government Leaders, would develop a new resource base, content, and cohort program for local government staff interested in learning how to do creative placemaking in their own communities.

Arts Leading

ArtPlace also saw additional crucial opportunities beyond higher education to invest in the most important players of all in creative placemaking: artists and the systems that support them. With so much of their focus over the years on driving demand in the community development side of the equation, 2019 represented a chance to double down on the arts side with two big announcements in the fall.

The first of these investments was in ioby, a national crowdfunding platform dedicated to community development and social change with a strong commitment to racial equity (the name stands for “in our backyards”). Knowing that there were many artists across the country who already had a strong practice of community-based work, Leila Tamari worked with ioby to match up to $15,000 for crowdfunded projects led by artists addressing community issues. The Artists Lead! initiative was both an opportunity to get more resources directly to artists and a chance for ioby to build out its capacity to support artists doing community work.

Tamari also stewarded a new partnership with the National Assembly of State Arts Agencies (NASAA). Dubbed Strengthening the State Arts Agency Support System for Creative Placemaking, this collaborative initiative supported research and convenings aimed at the exchange of ideas about community development and placemaking among state arts agencies and related stakeholders.

The plan called for NASAA to write a resource guide full of tips on how to support creative placemaking by tapping into resources from the housing, rural development, and economic development sectors. ArtPlace and NASAA also agreed to facilitate conversations and connections between the state arts agencies and other bodies devoted to community development and creative placemaking, including with NACEDA, which was also looking at state policy.

“The arts agencies themselves, along with their communities of artists, arts organizations, and local arts agencies, have tremendous influence and power that will fundamentally shape the future of this field,” said ArtPlace’s Leila Tamari when the initiative was announced.

The new Local Control, Local Fields initiative also commenced in 2019. With so many new programs and projects underway by the end of 2019, ArtPlace was poised for a busy final year—but no one could have anticipated what 2020 would have in store.
At PolicyLink we work with lots of partners, so it would not have been unusual to co-sponsor an event with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. But a four-hour gala of eclectic artistic performances, provocative dialogues, and the audience of several hundred at the Yerba Buena Center for the Arts dancing to close it out, and an only slightly smaller event two months later at the imposing headquarters of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York? That could only happen with ArtPlace America.

These gatherings launched the 2019 theme issue of the SF Fed’s journal, Community Development Innovation Review, in which twenty-five authors—theater directors, foundation presidents, Indigenous traditional artists, bankers, architects, planners, and people from many other backgrounds—described and reflected on the Community Development Investments initiative, which was created by ArtPlace and documented by PolicyLink. The cultural and geographic diversity of the CDI communities embodied ArtPlace’s commitment to tribal, rural, and urban places; and the candor, cooperation, and generosity we have experienced from the grantees and their collaborators validated ArtPlace’s goal of establishing a peer learning cohort that generated genuine lessons for the field. We should perhaps make that fields, plural, because another of ArtPlace’s key intentions has been to reach a broad array of distinct audiences of people in a position to make significant change.

This was our year to reach those leaders at their events, through their magazines and online channels, through social media, and via our website and publications. So we shared what we had learned with community developers, public health leaders, parks advocates, arts organization managers, social investors, and people in many other sectors.

Communicating in many dialects is harder than speaking in just one, but it is well worth it and exactly what this intersectoral, multicultural, interdisciplinary field of arts, culture, and equitable development needs.

— Victor Rubin and Jeremy Liu

Victor Rubin, MCP, PhD, an urban planning researcher, consultant, and teacher, is a Senior Fellow at PolicyLink, a national nonprofit institute advancing policy change for economic and racial equity. He leads the documentation of ArtPlace America’s Community Development Investments.

Jeremy Liu is a Senior Fellow at PolicyLink, where he guides a national initiative to integrate arts, culture, and creative placemaking into policy change and equitable development. He is the former executive director of two community development corporations and a practicing artist.
2020

Closings and Openings
By its final year, ArtPlace’s activities looked wildly different than at its inception. Having begun as a single grant-making program with a heavy emphasis on communications, ArtPlace was now invested in a wide range of partners that hit every corner of the Community Development Matrix. The ArtPlace team, which had remained lean at nine full-time and two part-time staffers, saw these deep partnerships as the core strategy for field-building through “embedding” the work to last beyond the organization’s existence. The strategy was to build the capacity and knowledge to advance creative placemaking in organizations and platforms that would support the field long past ArtPlace’s sunset.

In addition to these partnerships and the increasing body of knowledge and research being developed, the final years were also an opportunity to continue to innovate on everything the organization had built around both grant-making and communications.

Vesting Local Control of Local Fields

In 2019, ArtPlace announced an ambitious new initiative to disburse a final significant amount of resources through a process that would subvert many traditional notions of what grant-making looks like. The project was called Local Control, Local Fields. The core idea and value driving the initiative was that people are experts in their own place; moreover, that it would be critical for places and regions to be able to self-organize around the work to advance the field of practice long-term. In this way, ArtPlace was adding a new lens to its field-building strategies—from investing in projects through NCPF and in organizations through CDI; to cultivating industry partnerships in the community development, arts, and higher education sectors; to attending to whole geographies comprising people and institutions who can advance creative placemaking work through many and varied roles.

“We...knew that folks on the ground absolutely have the insight required to make really big, fieldwide decisions but are rarely supported when they’re brought to the table,” wrote ArtPlace’s Maura Cuffie when the project was rolled out. “So, while one goal for us is to make space for people to practice and flex their field-building muscle, we knew that many forms of support would be required along the way.”

The program called for the creation of up to forty-person Assemblies in five locales: the city of Philadelphia; the state of Massachusetts; the six-state region of Central Appalachia; the San Joaquin Valley region in California; and the region encompassing over twenty-three Native nations as well as Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota. The Assemblies, made up of artists, organizers, community developers, funders, and more—people within the region who have a relationship to arts, culture, and equitable development—were charged with making decisions about how to use a pool of funds provided by ArtPlace ranging from $1.45 million to $4.5 million.
In the spirit of modeling new ways of driving resources, ArtPlace centered its role in this program away from being an initiator of transactions and instead toward being a steward of process. Cuffie, who was bringing a wide range of experience in arts-based facilitation to the mix, worked with process facilitators to, in her words, "make use of imaginative gathering spaces to guide an Assembly toward building a big vision about their local field." As ArtPlace conveyed to each group, the funding was already guaranteed to be theirs. It was up to them to work together in a facilitated process to provide resources, a big vision, a way to make decisions, and a place to hold funds.

The results ranged from proposals for Native reparations and generational ripple-gifting in Massachusetts to new models for grant-making that placed grant recipients as advisors in Central Appalachia. The Massachusetts, Central Appalachia, and Philadelphia Assemblies held five or six meetings each throughout 2019, with further coordinating activities continuing throughout 2020. They each surfaced and unpacked some of the major complexities around power, resources, and collective action that are at the heart of movement building.

**A New Kind of Assembly in Philadelphia**

The Philadelphia Assembly began with a simple emailed invitation and a big proposition: Would you join this Assembly, invite one other person to join, and work collectively to determine how best to utilize $2 million in the name of Philadelphia’s local field of creative placemaking? “Now, looking back, it makes sense that most of the folks we invited thought, ‘Is this a scam?’ says Maura Cuffie, a sender of long emails.

Filled with the flutter of embarking on something new and unknown, the first gathering of the Philadelphia Assembly in June 2019 was rife with generative tension. After listening to an explanation of the program’s design and intentions, the Assembly came back with big questions, like Why would ArtPlace do this now?, How can we trust that we can really do anything with the funds?, and This is an unfair amount of work on a group of people who collectively are already overburdened — how is this worth my time? In every way, it was because of the candor shared by the members in that session that the group became more than a constellation of amazing practitioners — an actual assembly. Each gathering that followed presented a new opportunity for deepened commitment and energy toward the task at hand and more opportunities for ArtPlace to make good on its commitment to relinquish control.

The facilitation team, Esteban Kelly and Daniel Park of the US Federation of Worker Cooperatives (USFWC), brought rigor and utmost care to the process. They worked across many modalities — play, story-telling, somatic breath and stretch work, guided dialogue, visual-thinking strategies, hardcore analysis, and more. While the first gathering was about transparency and analyzing the state of creative placemaking in Philadelphia, the ones that followed centered on visioning and values and then applying those to big ideas for using the funds. By the second half of the process a smaller core group was nominated to turn ideas into viable proposals for the Assembly to ratify in time for the final gathering.

They ended up with a suite of strategies that put the people first. For this Assembly, field-building became about supporting the folks who are already doing creative placemaking work — not forcing them to do more projects, but investing in their livelihoods and their innovations, and building power through relationships. In their words:

Philadelphia is a place of opportunities, boundaries, borders, and determination. Understanding this, the Assembly shares a commitment to the practice of arts, culture, and equitable community development. Some may call it creative placemaking. For us, it’s about the frontline people who have been here, been committed, and are doing the work to keep the place despite the many challenges they face.

This suite of ideas addresses immediate and long-term needs. We believe in sustaining creative practice, land, livelihoods, dialogue across neighborhoods and disciplines, and ultimately, the joy, imagination, and hope of those who keep the place.

The first of the strategies to be ratified was the formation of a cooperative organization — an entity that would build on the spirit of the Assembly’s vision for communal ownership through democratic process and power building. This coop would generate three additional strategies: an equity-centered granting program to offer gifts of recognition to practitioners; a rotating loan fund and sou-sou (cohort-based and participatory infusions of capital that recycle the initial principal); and a mission-aligned investment portfolio to further protect and shore up the places and local ventures that make up the field. Notably, the Assembly decided to prioritize Black women, girls, and gender-nonconforming folks in the implementation of its strategies.

This brilliant vision was hard won and took incredible courage and love for the city of Philadelphia for the Assembly to get to this point. Navigating how race, class, gender, power, and the nonprofit sector inform the very reality of how resources are distributed is not easy.

Although the scheduled gatherings ended in October 2019, the shared meals, laughter, informed debate, and critical care did not evaporate. A small
group from the Assembly began creating plans to realize their strategies. But as this interim core began to get its footing, the reality of a global health pandemic and the public reckoning with police brutality and systemic anti-Black racism brought urgency, crisis, and their accompanying fatigue. While the core group continued building their capacity as a team and working with the values declared by the Assembly, it was clear that some of the strategies would need to be adapted. In light of all these factors, the group decided that at this time, it needed to simply put money on the streets. In 2020, they were able to distribute $230,000 in the form of no-strings-attached relief grants to artists and practitioners, as well as honoraria putting artists and practitioners to work, and to bolster existing aid efforts in the city of Philadelphia. The results will inform how their strategies will be modified in 2021 to match the changing times.

Finding Light in Times of Disruption

If the Philadelphia story taught ArtPlace anything, it was that showing up vulnerably and transparently is key to adapting well. But nothing could have prepared the organization for what would happen when the world shut down due to the emergence of the coronavirus. For a program reliant on in-person gatherings, trust-building, and planning, losing the ability to convene in person seemed like a devastating blow. However, Cuffie quickly pivoted with the help of her facilitators, Sandy Agustin, Melissa Olson, Pato Hebert, and Daniel Park, and was able to launch the San Joaquin Valley and Midwest region processes virtually. This time, in the midst of the new kinds of challenges COVID-19 was presenting for communities and artists alike, the emerging themes around land and livelihood were even more apparent. The two Assemblies arrived at strategies that would release funds immediately using methods that center the agency of people on the ground rather than traditional funders, as well as funds that attend to what new sprouts might grow. Following the work of all of these groups will be a surefire way to learn about how practitioners are leading the charge to do philanthropy differently.

Road Warriors Grounded

The pandemic halted most travel for early 2020. Amidst the many impacts on the way work happened, this also became an opportunity for the ArtPlace staff to reflect on how much of its work over the years had depended on airplane seats, hotel desks, and rented cars, essential tools for anyone working nationally on place-based work. While all staff had maintained a heavy travel schedule, no one had spent more time on the road than Executive Director Jamie Bennett, who had played a huge role in advancing the field as educator, representative, and connector. Bennett’s extensive networks and networking brought a constant input of ideas, people, and initiatives that the rest of ArtPlace’s activities could draw on, connect to, and advance. Over the years, Bennett’s was a frequently seen face at conferences and meetings that sought to advance big ideas—in the arts sector, community development, philanthropy, and beyond. Tasked with communicating a complex practice in a way that new audiences could understand, Bennett’s ability to share ideas and willingness to show up to important conversations were a bedrock force for ensuring creative placemaking was constantly on the radar.

“One of the jobs of any national intermediary is ‘journalist’: part of our charge is spending time listening to and learning from those who know more about something and then sharing that with those who know less about it,” says Bennett. “Our colleagues at Helicon Collaborative talk about this as being ‘trans-local’ work—creating a national community of people who are each deeply embedded in a different place. Being grounded this year has forced us to be more creative about the ways that technology can be used to make some of these connections even more easily.”

NOV 2020
Working Group: Economic Development
Hosted by ArtPlace (virtual)

DEC 2020
Translating Outcomes:
Cross-Cutting Analysis
ArtPlace’s research strategies culminate in a comprehensive, participatory look-back across all ten sectors.
Ten Sectors in Ten Years

The shift to virtual connections also had an impact on other areas of work at ArtPlace, whose cross-sector research strategies were proceeding steadily toward the goal of having a field scan, working group, and resource(s) developed in each of the ten sectors. The year 2019 had seen the launch of a body of work focused on arts, culture, and immigrant integration with Welcoming America, an international membership organization that supports local communities working to be more inclusive of new Americans. In 2020, ArtPlace continued working closely with agriculture and food systems partner DAISA Enterprises to advance conversations around arts and culture in the food sector, in particular with the USDA, creating a renewed focus on the importance of federal investments in rural communities working to be more inclusive of new Americans.

In January 2020 ArtPlace hosted its workforce development working group in partnership with Jobs for the Future and NORC at the University of Chicago, one of its last in-person gatherings. Over the summer, the youth development sector research was launched in partnership with Creative Generation, while the economic development sector research was refined to focus on the role of arts in community wealth building—a final opportunity to move away from creative placemaking’s early roots in creative economy theory.

While the pandemic ended in-person convenings and caused the planned working groups for youth development and community wealth building to shift to virtual settings, for one sector it created an opportunity. Building on the momentum of the Creating Healthy Communities initiative, the University of Florida Center for Arts in Medicine worked with dozens of collaborators and partners to develop a suite of resources articulating the role of the arts in COVID-19 response and recovery efforts, including an online repository highlighting arts-based strategies for communication, connection, resiliency, and recovery, and a series of policy briefs that were distributed widely to state and local government leaders.

While collaborating via video and across time and space, ArtPlace also spent 2020 finalizing some additional contributions to the field. These included an in-depth look at the ways in which arts and culture could drive social cohesion, a report developed in partnership with a consortium of funders who were all seeking to unpack a term that was used regularly in the field but frequently fuzzy in interpretations and outcomes. It also included the development of in-depth case studies focused on rural and tribal communities that could be used in academic settings and beyond to better understand the work.

ArtPlace was also partnering with The Kresge Foundation, the National Endowment for the Arts, and Drexel University on an examination of how creative placemaking projects were funded, in service of helping the field understand how to support projects after ArtPlace’s sunset. Senior Fellow Erik Takeshita, who had joined the staff in 2019, was also looking at financing from a different standpoint, helping to advance conversations at Social Capital Markets (SOCAP) around integrated capital models that can support innovative arts-infused community work.

And finally, the ArtPlace research team of Jamie Hand and Danya Sherman dedicated their final months at ArtPlace to a meta-analysis of all ten sectors, identifying patterns and themes in the research and creating a participatory process for the rest of the ArtPlace staff, all past research partners, and the field at large to reflect on what has been learned and to weigh in on a comprehensive research agenda for the next chapter of the creative placemaking field. This cross-cutting analysis, always intended to be the concluding step of the research strategies, would be a final contribution to help expand the core underlying theory of creative placemaking beyond economic development and into a space that was truly comprehensive.

The Stories of ArtPlace

With the pandemic and uprisings of 2020, and the march toward its sunset, ArtPlace’s communications team had never had a more critical or difficult job. And yet this team was well prepared to face the multiple tasks of continuing to expand the audience for creative placemaking work, ensuring the work was consistently well-situated in organizational values, and finding new ways to tell creative placemaking stories to drive national dialogue on timely topics. By 2020, the communications team had already built a lot of good muscle to prepare it for the task. Editorial Director Sarah Westlake had worked throughout her tenure to provide editorial structure, with monthly themes that allowed the organization to feature content on the blog, in video (via a partnership with DIY Docs, a free app-based video sharing platform), and across social media, from its funded projects, partners, and practitioners, that spoke to core issues and topics that were both timely and timeless.

In this final year, the team made the decision to double down on its content production with a new focus: how arts and culture were helping people make sense of the ongoing crises in public health and systemic racism. They did this by commissioning a series of blogs by BIPOC, rural, and queer artists to share what was happening in their communities and livelihoods.

The importance of storytelling had been a value for the organization since the beginning. Stories have the power to show complexity and context, as well as share lessons that can’t be captured by dry data or formal analyses. Taken together, ArtPlace’s strength over its tenure overwhelmingly came from leveraging its three main superpowers: convening people from many sectors and backgrounds who make the work happen at every level to forge robust networks; embedding the work in a constellation of partners to drive effective systems change to last beyond the organization; and telling a good story to move hearts and minds at every step of the way.
From my perspective as a facilitator of the Local Control, Local Fields process, this fascinating program has been a radical experiment with depth, nuance, success, and failure. The process, its design, and the various regional results speak deeply to the complicated nature of working toward collective liberation while being deeply entrenched in a capitalist and white-supremacist culture.

Most successfully, the program created an opportunity for culture bearers in various regions to come together, in an intentional and mindful way: to learn, to grow, to be in conflict, to share vulnerability, joy, and love. All of the Assemblies, but certainly the two I worked with, Philadelphia and the San Joaquin Valley, built ongoing connection into their plans for the usage of the funds they had access to. I believe that having a shared task that could make a long-lasting impact on their region helped facilitate and deepen this connection between practitioners.

But most importantly, this process showed (and I believe both Philadelphia and the San Joaquin Valley’s plans continue to elaborate on) the fact that communities know what to do with capital—they just need access to it. And that “success” is a multifaceted and deeply subjective state of being. Funders should not be policing, putting limitations on, or creating requirements for what it takes for our historically disinvested people and communities to get access to and utilize wealth that is intended to be shared. And that money is needed now.

But the process wasn’t without risk and failure. The participants of each Assembly were aware that even the initial proposal was imperfect, still rooted deeply in the nonprofit industrial complex. By giving these Assemblies, mostly comprised of people of color, full control over a both significant and yet also insignificant amount of funding, we saw the ways in which we have been socialized to compete against one another for funds that arise. We saw traditional power structures, particularly around gender, age, class, and race, bubble up in our work. And ultimately, we saw that this work is indeed work and needs to be compensated long-term. Distributing funds in an intentional way takes time, and all of the Assemblies are still deep in their work to figure out not what their big-picture plan is, but how to bring that plan to life.

—Daniel Park

Daniel is a queer, biracial theater and performance artist based in Philadelphia, co-founder of the worker cooperative Obvious Agency, and project coordinator with the U.S. Federation of Worker Cooperatives. Deeply tied to his artistic practice, Daniel is also an activist and organizer, focusing on racial and labor justice in the cultural sector.
Epilogue

This book is one capstone, among many, to the story of ArtPlace. The end of 2020—including the culminating virtual Summit open to all—brought many opportunities for the staff to share lessons and ideas from a decade of work, to identify throughlines, and to open questions to be explored past its tenure.

On Creative Placemaking

The story of ArtPlace, however, cannot be complete without looking at the organization’s relationship to the definition and use of the term creative placemaking. The creation of ArtPlace by some of the largest funders in the nation and the simultaneous introduction of the term had a seismic impact at its inception. Practitioners who had been working at this intersection of arts and culture and community development long before ArtPlace were wary of this big investment. There was concern that the push for clear definitions of creative placemaking practice and standardized metrics tied to notions such as vibrancy risked perpetuating models of grant-making and development that had the potential to do harm in communities.

Every year that passed, and with a focus on being responsive to the field, ArtPlace’s approach to advancing the work grew increasingly rooted in the premise that traditional community development was not always working for many, BIPOC and rural folks in particular. Dialogue with those who work most closely in the areas of both arts and community development fundamentally reshaped ArtPlace’s definitions and standards of practice around creative placemaking, grounding it in equitable development values, processes, and outcomes. ArtPlace sought to continually adjust the language it used, the way it used resources to drive change, and the way it understood its own power in the field, in the hopes of not replicating harm.

It was not always successful at that. However, articulating a vision of equitable, healthy, and sustainable communities became a north star for the team members to better define their own practice. They identified a goal of bringing more artists as well as arts and culture organizations to the community development “table,” but also did not presume that was sufficient in driving toward their vision. They worked to develop partnerships and ways of framing that would push the systems they were working within to consider not just the ways that the arts sector could support their goals, but also where the arts sector could help address their blind spots, particularly around equitable development practices and achieving equity.

They contributed to the development of frameworks such as the one offered in “Creative Placemaking Values: A Guide for Practitioners, Funders, and Evaluators,” produced in concert with Arizona State University, The Kresge Foundation, the National Endowment for the Arts, and Margy Waller to help support practitioners in equitable creative placemaking project design.

Even so, the field is still contending with the question of language and values, and inequitable practices still happen. Whatever it is called, creative placemaking means making choices about whose culture, whose values, whose visions, whose aesthetics, whose identities, whose stories, and whose ideas are shaping communities. There are many folks who will always prefer creative placekeeping or creative community development to the term creative placemaking, or will rightfully critique the kind of power that such a funder
In particular, this work has now also become deeply embedded in many of the national systems that make up the field of community development. The original design of ArtPlace’s cross-sector research work became a model for the whole institution in how it approached field-building: compiling work happening across the country and interviewing field leaders to understand the interests and needs of another sector; gathering together practitioners and field leaders to build relationships and a shared framework for work at the intersection; and investing in partners to develop targeted resources and interventions that will help drive new, arts-integrated practices within the sector. This approach has led a wide number of national service organizations, policy groups, and collectives that didn’t formally have knowledge and skills around arts and culture to build capacity institutionally and among their members, embedding the work in ways that are not dependent on ArtPlace.

The establishment and strengthening of these relationships and intersections is also helping to inform a wide range of new local, state, and federal policies that incentivize and support this work. Philanthropic funders, too, are finding ways to support outcomes and methodologies that cross traditional grant-making silos—allowing more funds from community development to support arts-led processes, and more arts-based funding to support work that drives community outcomes.

Altogether, the field is robust. While ArtPlace played many roles, often leading from behind, thousands more have, and will continue to have, an even greater role in ensuring this work continues well into the future. At the core, whether embracing the term **creative placemaking** or calling this work by another name, what unites all of these entities is a belief that bringing arts and culture into equitable community development creates an opportunity to better address the places where our existing systems are falling short, and to find new ways to steward the building and preserving of healthy, equitable, and sustainable communities.

---

**A Strong Field**

And while this book has represented the story of ArtPlace, it does not represent the story of the field. The idea of decentering ArtPlace over the long term was a critical design strategy by the entity itself. While most would agree that ArtPlace’s programs, relationships, and resources had a defining role in the field of creative placemaking, its role was always intended—particularly given the built-in sunset—to be a catalyst for others to take up the work. The constellation of institutions and practitioners that touch the work is deep and complex and has been bolstered by this decade of concentrated investment, but it extends far beyond ArtPlace’s reach.

Community leaders of all kinds—artists, community organizers, community development organizations, local government staff, arts and culture organizations, as well as practitioners in public health, community safety, transportation, and more—are regularly advancing local projects, deepening their practice, building valuable knowledge with each other and in systems, and serving as the core of a strong, decentralized, multifaceted, and resilient network that is the heart of the field.

The infrastructure around advancing practice in the field has also deepened, as has the sharing of knowledge around how to do this work. Higher education institutions, researchers, intermediary organizations, trainers, conveners, and more are centering the experience of creative placemaking practitioners in building new ways to advance the work with new and seasoned audiences alike.
When I was serving as the chair of the National Endowment for the Arts, during the first Obama administration, we made what is now called creative placemaking our number one priority. We were focused on the points of intersection between art and communities, the ways in which art can promote economic development, civil cohesion, and a feeling of pride about a place. Each “place” is unique, but whether we’re talking about a small rural town or a major metropolis, art can play a decisive role in making that place better. After only a few months of organizing and staffing, ArtPlace took flight as an independent, privately funded entity committed to the singular purpose of creative placemaking. With the establishment of ArtPlace, creative placemaking had a place of its own.

— Rocco Landesman, former Chairman, National Endowment for the Arts

I remember being struck by Rocco Landesman and Joan Shigekawa’s savvy. To have a truly significant impact, they had to look beyond the NEA’s modest budget to private philanthropic foundations and other federal agencies. I was excited about the potential to draw new attention, resources, and momentum to the practice of arts-based community development. American cities, neighborhoods, towns, tribes, and regions had already been practicing it for decades without the moniker.

— Anne Gadwa Nicodemus, founder, Metris Art Consulting, and co-author of the 2010 white paper Creative Placemaking
Some say creative placemaking preceded ArtPlace, and they are right—community arts, art education, and social protest art have all highlighted ways that artists’ creative skill and vision help people achieve myriad goals. But centering community goals as a dimension of creativity and artistic practice didn’t necessarily have a category of its own—a category that insisted that the work should be dynamic and complex, with far-reaching objectives that sometimes looked like community development goals, sometimes artistic goals, and sometimes both. Creative placemaking is a means and an end.

The success of ArtPlace isn’t represented by a dedicated funding stream or continuation of some version of ArtPlace. It is represented by the maturation of banking, health, community development, and other sectors and their recognition that creativity, art, and culture can help those sectors advance their goals. In other words, the success of ArtPlace is evident when creative process, art, and culture are understood as integral parts of our attempts to solve the many challenges we face as a complex, pluralistic society.

—Judilee Reed, Program Director of Creative Communities, William Penn Foundation

One of the reasons ArtPlace succeeded is that it sat in the gaps between architecture and landscape architecture and art—fields that had boundaries around them. Creative placemaking was much more fluid. There were no boundaries because we were inventing something new. The moment called for boundary crossing, whether that meant crossing from the physical realm to the social, or from real estate value to social and racial equity. ArtPlace has situated art, architecture, and landscape architecture in a much larger context, more about people and the way they experience a place. For me, that’s probably the greatest contribution of ArtPlace and its attendant activity.

—Carol Coletta, President and CEO, Memphis River Parks Partnership and former Executive Director, ArtPlace America

When you talk about ArtPlace and its success and its unusual combination of private philanthropy, federal involvement and engagement at the highest level, and corporate philanthropy coming from the banks, I think that it’s really unprecedented in the history of the NEA — and one of the most interesting things about it is that we made the decision that it should be an independent entity. We thought the idea was too important and too full of potential to have it be caught up in political change. Because it very often happens that when an administration changes, new leadership comes in with new ideas, and in order to implement them, they have to downsize the ideas of the previous leader.

—Joan Shigekawa, Former Acting Chairman, National Endowment for the Arts

I was able to participate in the big celebration of ArtPlace in spring 2019 in Jackson, Mississippi. I learned that ArtPlace, along with the NEA, had succeeded in both broadening the missions of artists and arts organizations in the U.S. and demonstrating how they have much to offer communities, large and small, urban and rural.... an achievement that has had a major impact on diversifying the arts in general. And engaging with communities has generated many creative artworks and art forms, too!

—Ann Markusen, Director of the University of Minnesota Humphrey School’s Project on Regional and Industrial Economics and co-author of the 2010 white paper Creative Placemaking
I think ArtPlace pushed funders to work in a more intersectional way. It brought different disciplines and different types of expertise in foundations together, and it probably made certain foundations more effective because of that. We at the Ford Foundation have been one of the largest funders of ArtPlace, and I can say that it has surpassed our expectations. ArtPlace and the movement for creative placemaking have been among the most gratifying and impactful initiatives I’ve worked on in twenty years in philanthropy.

— Darren Walker, President, Ford Foundation

Creative placemaking has already unlocked new ways of thinking within both community development and arts and culture. Community development is a different creature entirely when it moves beyond bricks and mortar to the animating principles of equitable human development. It’s imperative that we work together to ensure that creative placemaking’s ways of working live on, regardless of what specific model or support structure might emerge. Whether in the block club or the national think tank, we must all think critically about how we ensure that arts and culture can bolster the social and racial justice work ahead of us. We must continue to safeguard the artists, community development practitioners, and resident leaders from the vulnerability that comes from challenging systems—a vulnerability that may be even more pronounced in the months and years to come. That’s the way that we do justice to the immense work that ArtPlace’s staff have already carried out over the turbulence of the past decade, and it’s how we fuel the next phase of creative change that our country so desperately needs.

— Rip Rapson, CEO, Kresge Foundation and ArtPlace Board Chair

Intentional focus on building community power and uplifting community voice will be important for driving the future of this work. It has built the capacity and skill of community developers to understand what it means to work with artists, and their transformative power. This is being embedded organizationally as more community developers have dedicated staff and skills for formally incorporating this practice. I believe that if we are to truly address structural racism, climate change, and inequality through effective public policy and investment, we must understand our shared humanity and our interdependence. The complexity and interconnectedness of these issues has made them challenging to visualize and address, but I believe creative placemaking could be an effective way to look at them together.

— Laura Choi, Vice President of Community Development, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco

You don’t have to look too hard to find the ways that art and artists have been instrumental in helping the country navigate a turbulent phase. Today, amid a global pandemic, an economic recession, and protests over police brutality, we must consider the convergence of these life-altering events when talking about the future of creative placemaking. I imagine a future field anchored in racial and social justice—shared power and collective action at the local level. Debates over the practice, what it is called and who should carry it forward, will no longer matter. The challenges we face are too great, and we have too much work to do. I believe the future of creative placemaking will seek to reckon with and redress the well-meaning but harmful consequences of previous creative, development, and planning activities that may have perpetuated racist, xenophobic, and colonial practices. This has been happening in many communities across the country where it’s considered a core value, but it will continue to be a necessary exploration.

— Regina Smith, Managing Director for Arts and Culture, Kresge Foundation
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